STK-012, a First-in-Class α/β IL-2 Receptor Biased Partial Agonist in Advanced Solid Tumors – Initial Results of a Phase 1 study

September 2024

Synthekine, Menlo Park, CA

Martin Oft, MD; CSO

Benjamin Izar, Dmitriy Zamarin, David R. Spigel, Christopher J. Hoimes, David F. McDermott, Kartik Sehgal, Yana G. Najjar, Adam J. Schoenfeld, Edward B. Garon, Ryan J. Sullivan, Brian S. Henick, Ticiana A. Leal, Michael E. Hurwitz, Rana R. McKay, Alexander I. Spira, Jan Emmerich, Ievgen Koliesnik, Sandro Vivona, Michele Bauer, Alize Marangoz Stager, Xue Gong, Sushama Rokade, Patrick Lupardus, Alex Azrilevich, Naiyer A. Rizvi, Anita Mehta Damani

Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, Mount Sinai, New York, NY, Sara Cannon Research Institute, Nashville, TN, Duke Cancer Institute, Durham, NC, Beth Israel Deaconess Medical Center, Boston, MA, Dana Farber Cancer Institute, Boston, MA, UPMC Hillman Cancer Center, Pittsburgh, PA, Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY, University of California, Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA, Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, Columbia University Irving Medical Center, New York, NY, Emory University, Atlanta, GA, Yale Cancer Center, New Haven, CT, University of California at San Diego, San Diego, CA, NEXT Oncology Virginia, Fairfax, VA,



Cytokine Engineering to Increase Therapeutic Utility

Program	Platform	Discovery	Preclinical	IND Enabling	Clinical Study	Worldwide Rights
Oncology						
STK-012: IL-2 Partial Agonist	\bigcirc					Synthekine
STK-009 + SYNCAR-001: ortholL2 + CD19 orthoCAR	\bigcirc	± lymphodepleti	on			Synthekine
STK-026: IL-12 Partial Agonist	\bigcirc					💸 Synthekine
STK-009 + SYNCAR-002: ortholL2 + GPC3 orthoCAR	\bigcirc					💦 Synthekine
Various Targets (IL-18, IFNγ, etc.)	+					💸 Synthekine
Autoimmune & Inflammation						
STK-009 + SYNCAR-001: ortholL2 + CD19 orthoCAR	\bigcirc	No lymphodeple	tion			💦 Synthekine
IL-10 Program	+					sanofi
IL-22 Program	+					💦 Synthekine
Undisclosed Targets (Collaboration & Wholly-Owned)					
Synthekine	onist 👂	= Orthogonal Cytokin	e + Cell Therapy	= Surrogate (Cytokine Agonist]

Next Generation IL-2: Pegylated α/β -biased STK-012



Deep, durable responses in certain patients but limited use given toxicity



Avoids NK cells (toxicity) Targets Activated T cells / Tregs







α/β -biased IL-2 is Highly Selective for Activated T Cells



α/β -mIL-2 Retains Efficacy Compared to non- α -IL-2 and WT-IL-2



- control (CR 0/9)
- -O- non-β-IL-2-Fc 20µg qod (11%CR)
- \rightarrow α/β -mIL-2 10µg qod (55%CR)

non- α -IL-2 showed significant toxicity after 3 doses (44% death)

Tumor infiltrating CD8 T cells



Tumor infiltrating CD25+ CD8 T cells



Tumor antigen specific CD8+ T cells are expanded on CD25 binding IL-2



Tumor Antigen specific CD8+ TILs



α/β -mIL-2 But Not non- α -IL-2 Combines with anti-PD-1





NK Cells Mediate the Capillary Leak Syndrome for WT-IL-2 and non- α -IL-2

- Wild-Type IL-2 and non- α -IL-2 increase NK cells, T cells and myeloid cells in the lung
- In mice NK cells depletion (anti-NK1.1) avoids CLS



IL-2 Capillary Leak Syndrome



NK cells in the lung



STK-012 Avoids IL-2 Induced Capillary Leak Syndrome in Cynos



8x Proleukin[®] (37µg/kg/ 600.00IU/kg, 3x/day IV)
2x non-α-IL-2-PEG
2x STK-012 (PEG)
Analysis for acute capillary leak

WT IL-2 and Non-a-IL-2 cause lymphocyte extravasation





Part A: STK-012 QW escalation 0.375 mg → 0.75 mg (N=19)						
Part B: STK-012 Q3W escalation 0.75 mg → 3 mg (N=28)						
Part C: STK-012 Q3W escalation 0.75 mg → 3 mg + Pembro Q3W						
Part D: STK-012 Ph1b expansions at 2.25 mg Q3W						
Candidata PD2D						
2.25 mg Q3W	Enrolling to Ph1b expansions and biomarker backfill					

Baseline Disease Characteristics & Demographics , N=47					
Prior lines in advanced setting					
1	10 (21.3)				
2	9 (19.1)				
<u>></u> 3	28 (59.6)				
Prior immune checkpoint inhibitor	~ ~				
0	10 (21.2)				
≥1	37 (78.7)				
Disease type					
Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer	(15 (31.9)				
Clear Cell Renal Cell Carcinoma	6 (12.8)				
Ovarian Cancer	6 (12.8)				
Mucosal Melanoma	4 (8.5)				
Other (HNSCC, GEJ, GC, CC, non-ccRCC, MSI-	13 (27.7)				
H/dMMR CRC and HNSCC)	~				
Age (median)	63.1y				
female	44.3%				
ECOG 1/0	42.6 / 57.4				



CD25 Selectivity Leads to Slow Clearance of STK-012



STK-012 Monotherapy Safety

- Treatment-related adverse events (TRAEs) were reversible with standard management (eg, dose hold/reduction, supportive meds)
- No subjects experienced CLS and very few had associated TRAEs
- No dose-limiting toxicities (DLT period: 21 days)
- The majority of TRAEs were Grade 1 or 2 in severity
- 1 subject experienced a Grade 4 TRAE (Anaphylactic reaction occurred at C9D1 (1.5 mg Q3W) and no subjects experienced Grade 5 TRAEs
- All 3 subjects treated at the maximum dose of 3 mg Q3W experienced Grade 3 GI SAEs (in Cycle 2 (after the DLT period)
- 2.25 mg Q3W was selected as candidate RP2D

Most Common TRAEs (>10% of subjects) STK-012 monotherapy, N=47			Capillary Leak associated TRAEs STK-012 monotherapy, N=47			
TRAE	All Grade, N (%)	Grade <u>≥</u> 3, N (%)	TRAE	All Grade, N	Grade <u>></u> 3, N (%)	
Rash maculo-	(19 (40 4)	5 (10.6)	Hypotension	2 (4.3)	0	
papular	15 (40.4)	5 (10.0)	Pyrexia	2 (4.3)	0	
Fatigue	12 (25.5)	1 (2.1)	Flu-like	2(42)	0	
Injection site	11 (22 4)	0	symptoms	2 (4.3)	Ŭ	
reaction	11 (23.4)	0	Peripheral	2 (4.3)		
Nausea	11 (23.4)	1 (2.1)	edema			
Diarrhea	9 (19.9)	2 (4.3)	CRS	1 (2.1)	0	
Pruritis	7 (14.9)	0	Creatinine Increase	1(2.1)	1(2.1)	
Vomiting	6 (12.8)	2 (4.3)	CLS	0	0	
Arthralgia	5 (10.6)	1 (2.1)	LFT increase	0	0	
Total (TRAEs)	37 (78.7)	15 (31.9)	Lymphopenia	0	0	

STK-012 Dose Dependent Increase of IFN γ and T Cell Proliferation





IL-2 variants in clinical trials						
Max Fold Change	FOXP3+ Treg	NK cells	IFNg (pg/ml)	T1/2		
STK-012*	3.6x	1.6x	>100	4d	 STK-012 2.25mg ASCO 2021 ESMO 2022 Corp Pres. 2023 ARD 2022 NA=not available 	
Nemvaleukin ¹	2.3x	7.9x	400	~5h		
SAR'245 ²	3.5x	29.0x	(250x)	~11h		
Transcon IL-2 β/γ ³	3x	20.2x	NA	35h		
AMG592 ⁴	17x	2.9x	-	24h		

Izar et al, AACR 2024 12

STK-012 Selective Activation of CD25+ T Cells

CD25 Selective pSTAT5 Induction pSTAT5 in peripheral T cells KI67+ CD38+ CD8 T cells 22 -20 30 18 **Max Fold Change from Baseline** 16 % pSTAT5 + cells 14 20 12-10 8 10-6 4 2 ▲ (D25(D25*, D25*, D25*, D25*, D25*, D25) A 0.75mg 1.25mg (n=3) (n=3)

Svnthekine

Expansion of Antigen Activated CD8 T Cells



Izar et al, AACR 2024 13

STK-012 Monotherapy Efficacy

- Of 40 efficacy evaluable[#] subjects, 3 had partial response (PR) and 12 had stable disease (SD) as their best overall response (BOR) by RECIST V1.1.
- The partial responses included 2 confirmed (anti-PD-1 pretreated NSCLC and RCC) and 1 unconfirmed (anti-PD-1 pretreated HNSCC).





Note: 31 subjects are represented on this waterfall plot. 9 efficacy evaluable subjects are not represented due to (1) Clinical PD before 1st scan or (2) target lesions not evaluable at baseline OR (3) discontinued due to related AE prior to scans OR (4) BOR NE with no other evaluable scan timepoints. * Indicates subjects who are ongoing treatment; ^ indicates subjects who are IO Naive.

STK-012 Monotherapy Efficacy in Patients with 1-2 Prior Lines

- In subjects who progressed on/after prior immunotherapy who also had 1-2 prior lines in the advanced setting (N=15 efficacy evaluable), 3 had BOR of PR and 6 BOR of SD
- Durability of effect was observed in multiple subjects with BOR of SD
- ccRCC responder who maintained response for >9 months.





Conclusions

- Monotherapy STK-012 showed favorable safety, PK, and PD profiles with distinct differences from aldesleukin and non-α IL-2 analogues
 - No capillary leak syndrome observed with STK-012 treatment
- Selectivity for IL-2R α/β , selective expansion of activated CD8 T cells, and dose dependent increase of serum IFN γ
- Preliminary efficacy with monotherapy STK-012 (3 partial responses in Phase 1a) was observed in subjects who progressed on/after prior immunotherapy
- Further development is warranted and enrollment in STK-012 monotherapy dose expansions (Phase 1b) in advanced NSCLC and ccRCC is ongoing

