Cell

Graphical Abstract



Authors

Ignacio Moraga, Gerlinde Wernig, ..., Jacob Piehler, K. Christopher Garcia

Correspondence

kcgarcia@stanford.edu

In Brief

Synthetic ligands called diabodies can change the amplitude and nature of signal activation, or counteract oncogenic ligand-independent intracellular signaling, by re-orienting the geometry of receptor dimerization.

Highlights

- Ligand-driven re-orientation of receptor dimer topology tunes signaling output
- Diabodies elicit differential signal activation
- Non-agonistic diabodies counteract intracellular oncogenic signaling

Accession Numbers 4Y5V 4Y5X 4Y5Y





Tuning Cytokine Receptor Signaling by Re-orienting Dimer Geometry with Surrogate Ligands

Ignacio Moraga,^{1,2} Gerlinde Wernig,^{3,4} Stephan Wilmes,⁵ Vitalina Gryshkova,⁶ Christian P. Richter,⁵ Wan-Jen Hong,^{3,7} Rahul Sinha,³ Feng Guo,^{1,2} Hyna Fabionar,⁸ Tom S. Wehrman,⁹ Peter Krutzik,⁹ Samuel Demharter,¹⁰ Isabelle Plo,¹¹ Irving L. Weissman,³ Peter Minary,¹⁰ Ravindra Majeti,^{3,7} Stefan N. Constantinescu,⁶ Jacob Piehler,⁵ and K. Christopher Garcia^{1,2,*}

¹Howard Hughes Medical Institute, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305-5345, USA

²Department of Molecular and Cellular Physiology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305-5345, USA

³Institute for Stem Cell Biology and Regenerative Medicine, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305-5345, USA

⁴Department of Pathology, Division of Hematopathology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305-5345, USA ⁵Division of Biophysics, Department of Biology, University of Osnabrück, 49076 Osnabrück, Germany

Division of Biophysics, Department of Biology, Oniversity of Oshabiluck, 49070 Oshabiluck, Germany

⁶Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research and de Duve Institute, Université catholique de Louvain, 1200 Brussels, Belgium
⁷Department of Internal Medicine, Division of Hematology, Stanford University School of Medicine, Stanford, CA 94305-5345, USA
⁸DiscoveRx, 42501 Albrae Street, Fremont, CA 94538, USA

⁹Primity Bio, 3350 Scott Boulevard, Suite 6101, Santa Clara, CA 95054, USA

¹⁰Department of Computational Biology, Department of Computer Science, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3QD, UK

¹¹Institut Gustave Roussy, INSERM U1009, 94805 Villejuif, France

*Correspondence: kcgarcia@stanford.edu

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.02.011

SUMMARY

Most cell-surface receptors for cytokines and growth factors signal as dimers, but it is unclear whether remodeling receptor dimer topology is a viable strategy to "tune" signaling output. We utilized diabodies (DA) as surrogate ligands in a prototypical dimeric receptor-ligand system, the cytokine Erythropoietin (EPO) and its receptor (EpoR), to dimerize EpoR ectodomains in non-native architectures. Diabodyinduced signaling amplitudes varied from full to minimal agonism, and structures of these DA/EpoR complexes differed in EpoR dimer orientation and proximity. Diabodies also elicited biased or differential activation of signaling pathways and gene expression profiles compared to EPO. Non-signaling diabodies inhibited proliferation of erythroid precursors from patients with a myeloproliferative neoplasm due to a constitutively active JAK2V617F mutation. Thus, intracellular oncogenic mutations causing ligand-independent receptor activation can be counteracted by extracellular ligands that reorient receptors into inactive dimer topologies. This approach has broad applications for tuning signaling output for many dimeric receptor systems.

INTRODUCTION

Receptor dimerization is a universal mechanism to initiate signal transduction and is utilized by many growth factors such as cytokines and ligands for receptor tyrosine kinases (RTK), among others (Klemm et al., 1998; Stroud and Wells, 2004; Ullrich and

types (Bazan, 1990; Liao et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2009). Cytokines bind to the extracellular domains (ECD) of their cell-surface receptors, forming signaling complexes with receptor homo- or hetero-dimers. Although the dimer is the fundamental signaling unit, cytokine receptor-ligand complexes can form in higherorder assemblies (Boulanger et al., 2003; Hansen et al., 2008). In some cases, cytokine receptors may be pre-associated on the cell surface in an inactive state, with the cytokines re-orienting the receptor dimers into an active state (Brooks et al., 2014; Constantinescu et al., 2001: Gent et al., 2002: Livnah et al., 1999). Cytokines such as erythropoietin (EPO) and growth hormone (GH) homodimerize two identical receptor subunits (Constantinescu et al., 1999; Wells and de Vos, 1993), while other cytokines, such as tnterleukin-2, heterodimerize a shared receptor (common gamma chain) with a cytokine-specific subunit to initiate signaling (Liao et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2009). Cytokine receptor dimerization principally results in activation of intracellular, non-covalently associated Janus kinases (JAKs), which then activate the STAT pathway to modulate gene expression and ultimately determine cell fate (Ihle et al., 1995; O'Shea and Paul, 2010). Structures of cytokine-receptor ECD complexes from different systems have revealed a diverse range of molecular architectures and receptor dimer topologies that are compatible

Schlessinger, 1990; Wang et al., 2009; Wells and de Vos, 1993). Cytokines are a large class of secreted glycoproteins

that contribute to regulating the fate and function of most cell

with signaling (Boulanger et al., 2003; de Vos et al., 1992; Hansen et al., 2008; LaPorte et al., 2008; Livnah et al., 1996; Ring et al., 2012; Syed et al., 1998; Thomas et al., 2011; Walter et al., 1995; Wang et al., 2005). This topological diversity is also apparent for dimeric RTK ECD complexes with their agonist ligands (Kavran et al., 2014; Lemmon and Schlessinger, 2010). Furthermore, monoclonal antibodies, engineered ligands, and other agents that dimerize receptor extracellular domains can have disparate



impacts on signaling, but the topological relationships of these non-native dimers to those induced by the endogenous ligands are unknown (Boersma et al., 2011; Harwerth et al., 1992; Jost et al., 2013; Kai et al., 2008; Li et al., 2013; Müller-Newen et al., 2000; Nakano et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2012a). Prior studies have shown that cytokine receptor signaling efficiency can be influenced by extracellular domain mutations or structural perturbations (Barclay et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2009; Millot et al., 2004; Rowlinson et al., 2008; Seubert et al., 2003; Staerk et al., 2011). However, the apparent permissiveness in dimer architecture compatible with signaling raises the following questions: to what degree does modulation of receptor-ligand dimer geometry fine-tune receptor activation (Ballinger and Wells, 1998), and could such an approach constitute a practical strategy to control dimeric receptor signaling output? Correlating the structure of a receptor-ligand complex in different dimerization topologies to functional properties, including membrane-proximal and membrane-distal signaling outputs would be informative in addressing this question.

On one hand, prior studies showing that cytokine-induced intracellular signaling could be activated through chimeric receptors containing alternative ECDs demonstrated that constraints on dimerization geometries compatible with signaling were loose to some degree (Heller et al., 2012; Ohashi et al., 1994; Pattyn et al., 1999; Socolovsky et al., 1998). On the other hand, a series of studies comparing activation of EpoR by its natural ligand EPO versus synthetic peptides concluded that small changes in dimer orientation could modulate signal strength (Livnah et al., 1996, 1998; Syed et al., 1998). However, these studies left open the question of whether the observed signaling efficiency differences were attributable to alternative dimer topologies or ligand affinity. In one example, it was reported that an EPO agonist peptide (EMP-1) could be converted into a non-activating, or "antagonist" peptide (EMP-33) through a chemical modification (Bromination) of the EMP-1 peptide. Crystal structures of both peptide ligands bound to the extracellular domains of EpoR revealed dimeric complexes (Livnah et al., 1996, 1998); however, it was noted that the non-signaling EMP-33/EpoR ectodomain dimer angle differed by an ${\sim}15^{\circ}$ rotation versus the agonist EMP-1/EpoR dimeric complex (Livnah et al., 1998; and Figure S1A). The lack of signal initiation by the EMP-33 peptide was attributed to this small change in the EpoR ECD dimer angle.

RESULTS

EPO Receptor Dimerization and Signal Activation Induced by EMP Peptides

Given the diverse range of dimer topologies evident in agonistic cytokine-receptor complexes (Wang et al., 2009), that in many cases exceed 15° angular differences, we revisited the striking observation seen with the EPO peptide ligands. We explored the biological activity of these peptides using EpoR reporter cells we developed that gave us the ability to test EpoR signaling by receptor phosphorylation but, importantly, also using a beta-galactosidase complementation system that is a sensitive reporter of EPO-induced EpoR oligomerization in physiologic conditions at 37°C, which directly informs on early signaling

and internalization (Wehrman et al., 2007). First, we synthesized the EMP-1 and EMP-33 peptides and found that EMP-1 binds EpoR with a K_D of 1 μ M, whereas EMP-33 binds EpoR with a K_D of more than 50 μ M (Figures S1B and S1C). The low affinity of EMP-33 prompted us to ask whether its lack of receptor activation is due to low occupancy of the receptor on the cell. We measured the actions of both peptides at inducing signaling and receptor dimerization on cells at a wide range of concentrations. At 10 µM of peptide, only EMP-1 induced dimerization and phosphorylation of EpoR at levels comparable to those achieved by EPO stimulation (Figures 1A and 1B). At higher concentrations of peptide (100 μ M), approaching that used for co-crystallization of both the agonistic and non-signaling dimeric EpoR/peptide complexes, EMP-33 induced a similar degree of receptor dimerization and phosphorylation of EpoR as EMP-1 and EPO itself (Figures 1A and 1B). Thus, when EMP-33 is applied at concentrations that dimerize EpoR on cells, the dimer geometry of the EMP-33/EpoR complex is competent to initiate signaling. The different signaling potencies exhibited by the EPO mimetic peptides appear to be primarily due to their relative EpoR binding affinities.

EpoR Diabodies Induce Different Degrees of Agonism Activity

We turned our attention to developing surrogate cytokine ligands that could induce much larger topological differences in the EpoR dimer and enable a systematic study relating dimer architecture to signaling and function. We reasoned that diabodies, which are covalently linked dimeric antibody V_H/V_L variable domain fragments (Fvs) possessing two binding sites, could dimerize and possibly induce signaling of the EpoR, albeit at significantly larger inter-dimer distances than induced by EPO. Additionally, diabodies might be constrained enough to allow crystallization of their complexes with EpoR so that we can directly visualize the dimeric topologies (Perisic et al., 1994). By comparison, whole antibodies have been shown to activate cytokine receptor signaling in many systems, presumably by dimerization (Müller-Newen et al., 2000; Zhang et al., 2012a, 2013). However, the segmental flexibility of intact antibodies has precluded a structural analysis of intact dimeric agonist complexes that can be related to the biological activities.

We synthesized genes of four previously reported anti-EpoR antibodies (Lim et al., 2010) and re-formatted their V_H and V_L domains into diabodies (Figure 1C). The four diabodies bound EpoR with approximately similar affinities (Figure S2) and multimerized EpoR with similar efficiency (as measured by EC_{50}), albeit less efficiently than EPO (Figure 1D). However, they induced EpoR phosphorylation with very different relative efficacies, ranging from full agonism (DA5) to very weak partial agonism (DA10) (Figure 1E). The four diabodies also exhibited different extents of STAT5 phosphorylation (Figure 1F), STAT5 transcriptional activity (Figure S3A), Ba/F3 cell proliferation (Figure 1G), and CFU (colony forming unit)-E colony formation (Figure 1H). These dramatic differences in diabody-induced signaling and functional activities persist at saturating ligand concentrations, so are not attributable to significantly different relative affinities for EpoR or to a stronger EpoR internalization induced by the weak agonist diabodies (DA10, DA307, and



DA330) because the internalization closely correlated with their signaling efficacies (Figure S3B).

EpoR Diabodies Induce Differential Signal Activation

STAT5 is the most prominent STAT protein activated by EPO (Constantinescu et al., 1999). However additional signaling pathways, including other STATs (STAT1 and STAT3), the MAPK pathway, and the PI3K pathway, are also activated by this cytokine and fine-tune its responses (Constantinescu et al., 1999). "Biased" signal activation is a phenomenon that has been described for G-protein-coupled receptor (GPCR) ligands, where one GPCR can differentially activate signaling pathways (e.g., beta-arrestin versus G protein), depending on the ligand (Drake et al., 2008). Thus, we asked whether similar differential signal activation could be observed in a dimeric single-pass transmembrane receptor such as the EPO-EpoR system. We studied the activation of 78 different signaling molecules (Table S1) by

1198 Cell 160, 1196–1208, March 12, 2015 ©2015 Elsevier Inc.

Figure 1. EpoR Dimerization and Signaling Potencies Induced by EMPs and Diabodies

(A and B) Levels of EpoR dimerization (A) and phosphorylation (B) promoted by EMPs at the indicated doses. Data (mean \pm SD) are from four independent replicates.

(C) Schematic view of a bivalent diabody molecule. $V_{\rm H}$ is connected to the $V_{\rm L}$ domain by a short Gly-linker. EpoR binding sites in the diabody are highlighted with a yellow circle.

(D and E) Levels of EpoR dimerization (D) and phosphorylation (E) promoted by diabodies at the indicated doses. Data (mean \pm SD) are from four independent replicates.

(F) Percentage of pSTAT5 activation induced by the indicated doses of EPO or the four diabodies in Ba/F3 EpoR cells. Data (mean \pm SD) are from two independent experiments.

(G) Ba/F3 proliferation in response to EPO or the four diabodies. Data (mean \pm SD) are from two independent replicates.

(H) Number of CFU-E colonies derived from mouse bone marrow induced by EPO and the four diabodies. Data (mean \pm SD) are from three different experiments.

See also Figures S1, S2, and S3.

phospho-flow cytometry in the EPOresponsive cell line UT7-EpoR. EPO and the diabodies induced the activation of 33 signaling proteins, including members of the STAT family (STAT1, STAT3, and STAT5), MAP kinase family (MEK and p38), and PI3K family (Akt, RSK1, and RPS6) (Figure 2A). We also observed the upregulation of known EPO-induced transcription factors such as Myc, cFos, IRF1, and Elk (Figure 2A). In agreement with our previous results, the signaling potencies exhibited by the three diabodies ranged from full agonism for DA5 to partial agonism for DA330 and non-agonism for

DA10 (Figure 2A). Interestingly, the diabodies did not activate all 33 signaling molecules to the same extent (Figure 2B). When the signal activation levels induced by the three diabodies after 15 min stimulation were normalized to those induced by EPO, we observed that, although EPO and DA5 induced similar levels of activation in the majority of the signaling pathways analyzed, DA5 activated some of them to a lower extent than EPO (Figure 2B). Among those, STAT1 and STAT3 activation were the most affected, with DA5 inducing 30% of the STAT1 and 40% of the STAT3 activation levels induced by EPO (Figure 2B). Interestingly, STAT3 S727 phosphorylation, which requires MAPK activation (Decker and Kovarik, 2000), was equally induced by EPO and DA5, which is consistent with the two ligands activating the MAPK pathway to the same extent (Figures 2A and 2B). Dose/ response studies in UT7-EpoR cells confirmed these observations and showed that DA5 activates STAT1 to a lesser extent than EPO, while still promoting comparable levels of STAT5



activation (Figure 2C). Thus, biased signaling can be induced through the dimeric EpoR with surrogate ligands.

Next, we studied how different signal activation amplitudes exhibited by the diabodies at the membrane-proximal level would impact their membrane-distal gene expression programs. We carried out RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) studies of EPOresponsive genes in purified human primary megakaryocyteerythroid progenitor (MEP) cells derived from bone marrow of a normal subject (Figure 2D). Temporally, MEPs are the first progenitors to robustly express EpoR during hematopoiesis in humans (Seita et al., 2012). In agreement with the signaling data, the relative gene-induction potencies exhibited by the diabodies matched their signaling efficacies (i.e., DA5 > DA330 > DA10) (Figure 2D). DA5 induced a very similar gene induction profile to EPO but with some differences, with a small subset of genes (e.g., *Pim2* and *RN7SK*) being differentially regulated by DA5 when compared to EPO.

Figure 2. "Biased" Signaling Activation Induced by the Diabodies

(A) Bubble plot representation of the signaling pathways activated by EPO and the three diabodies at the indicated times in UT-7-EpoR cells. The size of the bubble represents the intensity of the signal activated.

(B) The levels of signal activation induced by the three diabodies at 15 min of stimulation were normalized to those induced by EPO and order based on signaling potency. The red line represents the EPO signaling activation potency normalized to 100%. Data (mean \pm SD) are from three independent replicates.

(C) pSTAT1 and pSTAT5 dose-response experiments performed in UT-7-EpoR cells stimulated with EPO or DA5 for 15 min. Data (mean \pm SD) are from two independent replicates.

(D) Bubble plot representation of genes induced by EPO and the three diabodies after stimulation of MEP cells for 2 hr. The size of the bubble represents the fold of gene induction.

See also Figure S3 and Table S1.

The different EPO-responsive gene induction potencies elicited by the diabodies were further confirmed by gPCR experiments in the EPO-responsive cell line UT7-EpoR. UT-7-EpoR cells were stimulated with saturating doses of EPO or the three diabodies for 2, 4, and 8 hr, and the levels of CISH and Pim1 gene expression were studied (Figure S3C). Here again, DA5 stimulation led to similar levels of CISH and Pim1 induction as EPO; DA330 resulted in only 30%-40% induction of these genes, and DA10 only marginally induced CISH and Pim1 in these cells (Figure S3C). When compared to the RNA-seq experiment performed in MEP cells, DA10 induced a lower level of CISH and Pim1 expression in UT7-EpoR cells. These dif-

ferences likely result from the use of different cell types in the two assays. Overall, our signaling and gene expression data show that the diabodies exhibit various degrees of differential signaling properties relative to EPO and to one another.

Alternative EpoR Dimer Orientation and Proximity Result in Different Degrees of Agonism

To explore the structural basis for the differential signaling activation exhibited by the diabodies, we expressed and purified three diabody/EpoR complexes (DA5, DA10, and DA330) from baculovirus-infected insect cells. All exhibited molecular weights of 97–98 kDa as measured by multi-angle light scattering (MALS) chromatography, in agreement with a 2:1 complex stoichiometry (two EpoR bound to one diabody [Figure S4A]). We crystallized the diabody/EpoR complexes (DA5 [2.6 Å], DA10 [3.15 Å], and DA330 [2.85 Å]) and determined their structures by molecular replacement (Figure 3 and Table S2). The diabody subunit



Figure 3. Crystal Structures of DA5, DA10, and DA330 in Complex with EpoR

(A) Overlay of the three diabody_EpoR complexes. EpoR binding to DA5 is colored green, EpoR binding to DA10 is colored red, and EpoR binding to DA330 is colored purple. The DA330 crystal lattice appears to contain domain-swapped diabodies as scFv in similar but not identical subunit relationships.

(B) Diabodies binding footprint on the EpoR surface. Amino acids on EpoR interacting with the diabodies are colored white. DA5 CDRs are colored green; DA10 CDRs are colored red, and DA330 CDRs are colored purple. Vectors connecting the V_H CDR1 and the V_L CDR1 in the diabodies define the binding topology of the three diabodies_EpoR complexes.

(C and D) Diabodies and EPO binding footprint on the EpoR surface. Hotspot interactions on EpoR are colored lime and are shared by the diabodies and EPO. Diabodies use Y34, R98 (DA5), Y105 (DA10), Y32, and R101 (DA330) to interact with the amino acids forming the two hotspots on EpoR. EPO uses similar chemistry with F43 and K45 filling the two hotspot pockets on EpoR.

(E–H) Crystal structures of DA5 (E), DA10 (F), DA330 (G), and EPO (H) dimerizing two EpoR are shown in top (left) and side (right) views. In the side view representation, EpoR is depicted as surface. Yellow spheres represent the C-terminal region of the SD2 EpoR domain.

See also Figures S4, S5, and S6 and Table S2.

marily in their vertical "tilt" (~14°), DA10 is orthogonally disposed relative to the other two (Figure 3B). In a striking example of chemical mimicry of EPO binding, the diabody CDR loops use two patches of basic (Arg98/Arg101 of DA5 and DA330, respectively) and hydrophobic (Tyr34/Tyr105/Tyr32 of DA5/DA10/ DA330, respectively) residues in a nearly identical manner as residues presented on the EPO helices (Lys45 and Phe48) in the EPO site I binding interface to engage the same regions of the EpoR binding site (Figures 3C and 3D).

relationships are clear for the most and least potent diabody complexes (DA5 and DA10, respectively). For the DA330/EpoR complex, the crystal appears to contain domain-swapped diabodies as "back-to-back," single-chain Fvs that pack in similar, but not identical, subunit relationships as diabodies. The MALS data show that all of the diabodies are the expected 2:1 complexes in solution.

All three diabodies converge on the protruding "elbow" of EpoR that also serves as the EPO binding site (Figures 3, S4, and S5). When the diabody V_H/V_L modules are aligned, the EpoR's "rotational" binding topology is most similar between DA5 and DA330, with DA10 being markedly different (Figure 3A). Although DA5 and DA330 both bind horizontally and differ pri-

The overall architectures of the three diabody/EpoR complexes (Figures 3E–3G) are quite distinct from that of the EPO/ EpoR complex, which dimerizes two molecules of EpoR in a classical Y-fork cytokine-receptor architecture, resulting in close proximity between the C termini of the membrane-proximal EpoR ECDs (Figure 3H). In contrast, the diabodies impose much larger separation between the two EpoR molecules with distances ranging from ~127 Å in the case of the DA5/EpoR (full agonist) complex to ~148 Å, as in the case of the DA10/ EpoR complex (non-agonist) (Figures 3E–3G). The exact EpoR dimer separation is uncertain for the partial agonist DA330 due to the domain swapping. Interestingly, the relative EpoR dimer distances observed in the full and non-agonist diabody/EpoR complexes correlate with their signaling potencies in that the full agonist DA5 dimer is closer together, whereas the non-agonist DA10 is further. One caveat is that the diabody molecules themselves are not rigid-they exhibit flexibility in the linker and hinge angles relating the two V_H/V_L modules, raising the question of whether we captured one of a range of dimer angles that could be enforced by crystal lattice contacts. We performed conformational sampling studies exploring the relationship between the EpoR separation distance as a function of the diabody hinge angle on the full agonist DA5 and the non-signaling DA10 (Figure S6 and Movies S1 and S2). The results of these studies show that the thermodynamically permitted variation in diabody hinge angles appears to occupy a few energy minima, leading to only a small range of alternative conformations (i.e., distances) around that seen in the crystal structures (Figure S6 and Movies S1 and S2). The sampling of these alternative conformations has minor consequences on the inter-EpoR distances.

It is important to emphasize that, because we observe differences in both the EpoR/diabody docking angles (Figures 3A and 3B) and the distances between EpoR C termini in the dimeric complexes, we cannot say whether distance or geometry/ topology, or a combination of both factors, is responsible for the differences in signaling between the complexes. However, that the differences in signaling amplitude correlate with alternative overall extracellular dimer topologies appears quite clear. Such large differences in extracellular architecture would likely influence the relative orientation and proximity of the two JAKs associated with the membrane proximal intracellular domains of the receptors and impact their subsequent phosphorylation profiles (Figure 5A).

Comparable Spatiotemporal Dynamics of EpoR Assembly by EPO and Diabodies

An important mechanistic question is whether the diabody/EpoR complexes on the cell surface are indeed homodimers or higherorder species due to clustering of preformed EpoR dimers, which has been reported previously (Constantinescu et al., 2001; Livnah et al., 1999). To explore the ability of the diabodies to dimerize EpoR in the plasma membrane, we probed the assembly and diffusion dynamics of signaling complexes by dual-color single-molecule imaging. For this purpose, EpoR fused to an N-terminal monomeric EGFP (mEGFP) was expressed in HeLa cells and labeled by addition of anti-GFP nanobodies (Rothbauer et al., 2008), which were site-specifically conjugated with DY647 and ATTO Rho11, respectively (Figure 4A). We labeled the receptors extracellularly so as not to introduce fusion proteins to the intracellular regions that may result in artifactual dimerization behavior. Efficient dual-color labeling suitable for long-term observation of individual EpoR was achieved with typical densities of \sim 0.3 molecules/ μ m² in both channels, which was exploited for co-localization and co-tracking analysis. In the absence of an agonist, independent diffusion of EpoR molecules could be observed (Movie S3) with no significant singlemolecule co-localization beyond the statistical background (Figure 4B). Single-molecule co-tracking analysis corroborated the absence of pre-dimerized EpoR at the plasma membrane (Figures 4C and 4D). Upon addition of EPO, dimerization of EpoR was detectable by both co-localization and co-tracking

analysis (Movie S3 and Figures 4B-4D). Individual receptor dimers could be tracked (Movie S3), and a clear decrease in their mobility compared to EpoR in absence of ligand was identified (Figure 4E). Stimulation of EpoR endocytosis in presence of EPO was observed, which was accompanied by an increased fraction of immobile EpoR molecules in presence of EPO. The stoichiometry within individual complexes was analyzed by photobleaching at elevated laser power. Single-step photobleaching confirmed the formation of EpoR dimers in the plasma membrane (Movie S4 and Figure 4F). Upon labeling the mEGFP-EpoR only with ATTO-Rho11, two-step bleaching could be observed only in presence of EPO (Movie S5). For all diabodies, very similar levels of receptor dimerization were obtained (Movie S3 and Figure 4D). A slightly increased dimerization level compared to EPO was observed, which may be due to the symmetric binding affinities of diabodies to both EpoR subunits compared to the asymmetric receptor dimer assembly observed for EPO. Importantly, the diffusion properties of receptor dimers assembled by the diabodies were comparable to EPO, as shown for DA5 in Figure 4E, confirming a comparable mode of receptor dimerization by diabodies compared to EPO. Moreover, 1:1 receptor dimers recruited by the diabodies is observed by single-step photobleaching (Figure 4F). Thus, although we do not rule out any role of EpoR pre-association in the observed signaling effects, our microscopy data indicate that the diabodies are not simply clustering quiescent EpoR dimers into higherorder assemblies.

EpoR Diabodies Inhibit Erythroid Colony Formation in JAK2V617F-Positive Patients

Several mutations in JAKs are known to cause immune disorders and cancer by rendering activation ligand independent (Gäbler et al., 2013; James et al., 2005). We asked whether the large EpoR distances and different binding geometries induced by the diabodies could modulate the activity of these kinase mutants in an extracellular ligand-dependent manner by separating the two JAKs at distances where they could not undergo transactivation. The JAK2V617F mutant is the best-described example of an oncogenic JAK mutation, causing the development of hematological disorders such as polycythemia vera (PV) and other myeloproliferative (MPN) neoplasms (Baxter et al., 2005; James et al., 2005; Kralovics et al., 2005; Levine et al., 2005). At physiologic expression levels, JAK2V617F-positive cells require EpoR to proliferate in a ligand-independent manner (Lu et al., 2008). Stimulation of Ba/F3 cells expressing the murine EpoR and the JAK2V617F mutant with EPO or DA5 did not significantly affect the basal phosphorylation of STAT5, Akt, and Erk in these cells (Figures 5B and 5C). However, stimulation of these cells with DA10, DA307, and DA330 decreased the STAT5, Akt, and Erk phosphorylation in a time-dependent manner (Figures 5B and 5C). This decrease in signal activation induced by DA10, DA307, and DA330 was not the result of EpoR surface depletion. Only the full agonists, EPO and DA5, led to a significant decrease in the levels of EpoR on the surface (Figure 5D). The decrease in the JAK2V617F-induced basal signaling activation promoted by the diabodies was followed by a reduction in the proliferation rate of Ba/F3 cells expressing the mutated JAK2 (Figure 5E), suggesting that oncogenic JAK



mutant activities can be modulated in an extracellular ligand-dependent manner.

The Ba/F3 cells used here are a transformed cell line engineered to overexpress EpoR and JAK2V617F, which led to transformation and to autonomous growth, so we also performed erythroid colony formation assays in primary cells from human JAK2V617F-positive patients. CD34+ hematopoietic stem cells and progenitors (HSPC) from heterozygous JAK2V617F-positive patients were isolated and stimulated with the indicated diabodies ± EPO, and their ability to form erythroid colonies was assayed. In the absence of diabodies, JAK2V617Fpositive CD34+ cells gave rise to erythroid colonies, which were further increased in numbers in the presence of EPO in the media (Figure 6A). Stimulation with a non-specific negative control diabody did not significantly alter the number of erythroid (EpoRdependent) or myeloid colonies (EpoR independent) (Figures 6A and 6B), ruling out possible toxic side effects induced by

Figure 4. Diabodies Dimerize EpoR at the Surface of Living Cells

(A) Cell-surface labeling of EpoR using dye-labeled anti-GFP nanobodies.

(B) Relative co-localization of RHO11EpoR and DY647EpoR in absence and presence of ligand. As a negative control, co-localization of maltose binding protein fused to an indifferent transmembrane domain is shown. Data (mean \pm max/min) are shown.

(C) Trajectories (150 frames, ${\sim}4.8$ s) of individual Rho11-labeled (red) and DY647-labeled EpoR (blue) and co-trajectories (magenta) for unstimulated cells, as well as after stimulation with EPO (5 nM) and DA5 (250 nM).

(D) Relative amount of co-trajectories for unstimulated EPOR and after stimulation with EPO and diabodies (DA5, DA330, and DA10). Data (mean \pm max/min) are shown.

(E) Diffusion properties of EpoR represented as trajectory step-length distribution (time lapse: 160 ms) for unstimulated cells and after dimerization with EPO or DA5. The curves correspond to fitted data from >10 cells (\sim 1,500 trajectories each). (F) Diabody-induced dimerization of EpoR demonstrated by dual-step bleaching analysis. Top: a kymograph pseudo-3D illustrating dualcolor single-step bleaching for an individual DA5induced EpoR co-trajectory. Bottom left: the corresponding pixel-intensity profiles are shown for both acquisition channels. Bottom right: the fraction of signals within co-trajectories that decay within a single step versus multiple steps. Comparison for complexes obtained with EPO (from 154 co-trajectories) and DA5 (from 186 co-trajectories).

diabodies. Stimulation of JAK2V617Fpositive CD34+ cells with the agonistic diabody DA5 led to a specific increase in the number of erythroid colonies (Figures 6A and 6C) without significantly altering the number of myeloid colonies (Figure 6B). On the other hand, stimulation

with DA330 and DA10 led to a potent and specific decrease in the number of erythroid colonies (Figures 6A-6C). We note that DA330, which is a partial agonist of normal JAK2 signaling, limits but does not prevent signaling in JAK2V617F cells, giving the appearance of a structural "governor" controlling signaling output. All of the colonies analyzed in the study harbored the JAK2V617F mutation as determined by single-colony genotyping (Figure 6D). The diabody with the largest intersubunit distance, DA10, inhibited colony formation the strongest, comparably to the JAK1/2 inhibitor Ruxolitinib, which is approved and standard of care for JAK2V617F-positive MPN (Verstovsek et al., 2010) (Figure 6A). DA10 also decreased the number of erythroid colonies from homozygous JAK2V617Fpositive patients (Dupont et al., 2007) (Figures 6E and 6F), suggesting that the binding topology imposed by this diabody dominates over the influence of the mutated JAK2 expressed in the cell. Overall, these results show that extracellular ligands



that enforce large receptor dimer separation and different binding geometries can counteract intracellular oncogenic ligandindependent receptor activation, presumably by exceeding the accessible distance that the JAK2 kinase domain can extend to transphosphorylate the opposing JAK2 and receptor (Figure 5A).

DISCUSSION

Single-pass type I and type II transmembrane receptors that contain ligand-binding ECDs constitute a major percentage of all signaling receptors in the mammalian genome and include cytokine (JAK/STAT) receptors (Spangler et al., 2014), tyrosine kinase (RTK) receptors (e.g., EGF-R, Insulin-R, etc.) (Lemmon and Schlessinger, 2010), and many others. In most cases, these receptors signal in response to ligand engagement as homo- or heterodimeric units (Klemm et al., 1998; Stroud and Wells, 2004).

Figure 5. DA10 and DA330 Inhibit JAK2V617F Constitutive Activity

(A) Model depicting the mechanism by which the diabodies affect signaling activation potencies. The large dimer intersubunit distances exhibited by the diabodies may alter the position of JAK2 upon ligand binding, decreasing its ability to transactivate each other and start downstream signaling amplification.

(B) Kinetics of pSTAT5 in Ba/F3 cells expressing the JAK2V617F mutant after stimulation with EPO or the four diabodies. DA10, DA307, and DA330 induce a decrease on the basal pSTAT5 levels in a time-dependent manner. Data (mean \pm SD) are from two independent experiments.

(C) pSTAT5, pErk, and pAkt levels induced by 1 μM of the four diabodies in Ba/F3 cells expressing the JAK2V617F mutant after 3 hr of stimulation.

(D) EpoR surface levels after 1 hr stimulation with EPO or the four diabodies.

(E) Proliferation of Ba/F3 cells expressing JAK2 WT or JAK2V617F in response to 1 μ M of each of the four diabodies after 5 days of stimulation. Data (mean \pm SD) are from three independent experiments.

For this class of receptors, ligand binding ECDs are structurally autonomous and are separated from the intracellular signaling modules (e.g., Kinase domains) through juxtamembrane linkers and a TM helix. Thus, the intracellular domains (ICDs) presumably sense ligand binding through spatial perturbations of receptor orientation and proximity that are relayed conformational changes through as the membrane (Ottemann et al., 1999). However, it has been unclear to what extent extracellular ligands can influence signaling through dimeric receptors by enforcing ECD orientational differences. In contrast, for GPCRs, although the role

of dimerization remains to be determined, it is well established that ligand binding within the TM helices induces conformational changes within the plane of the membrane. Even minor structural differences in the relative orientations of GPCR TM helices induced by ligands are conveyed as differential signaling (e.g., biased signaling, inverse and partial agonism) (Venkatakrishnan et al., 2013). This property of GPCRs has been exploited by the pharmaceutical industry for small-molecule drug development. Here, we asked whether ligand-induced orientational (i.e., "shape") changes of receptor dimer geometry could serve a conceptually and functionally analogous role to the diverse types of conformational changes induced by GPCR ligands that result in differential signaling.

Although there exists a vast literature showing that dimeric receptor signaling strength is determined by extracellular parameters such as ligand affinity and complex half-life on the cell surface (Harwerth et al., 1992; Riese, 2011), the role of



Figure 6. DA10 and DA330 Inhibit Erythroid Colony Formation in JAK2V617F-Positive Patient Samples

(A) Number of erythroid BFU-E (EpoR-dependent) colonies in heterozygous JAK2V617F positive myeloproliferative neoplasm patient samples after stimulation with the indicated ligands. Data (mean \pm SD) are from three different donors.

(B) Number of myeloid colonies in heterozygous JAK2V617F-positive myeloproliferative neoplasm patient samples after stimulation with the indicated ligands.

(C) Overview pictures highlight EPO-independent BFU-E colonies (no drug and DA5), which are significantly diminished with DA330 and DA10 treatment. *p < 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.01; paired Student's t test was used to determine significant changes.

(D) The genotype of 109 erythroid colonies derived from sorted CD34+ cells derived from PMF cases was determined by multiplexed custom TaqMan SNP assay for JAK2V617F and JAK2 wild-type. Each colony is represented by a single dot in the graph and colored according to different treatment regimens. Gray dots represent colonies derived from conditions without treatment or treatment with an agonist (dark gray with EPO, light gray without EPO), orange and green dots represent few residual colonies treated with DA330, and blue and red dots very rare residual colonies treated with DA10.

(E) Number of erythroid colonies (burst-forming units-erythroid [BFU] or endogenous erythroid colonies [EEC]) and myeloid colonies (EpoR-in-dependent) in a polycythemia vera (PV) (top) and primary myelofibrosis (PMF) patient (bottom panel) homozygous for JAK2V617F. SI: SCF + IL-3; SIE: SCF +IL-3 + EPO. Data (mean \pm SD) are from three different donors.

(F) Morphology of EEC colonies after treatment with the indicated conditions is shown.

puts through cytokine receptors (Zhang et al., 2012a; Kai et al., 2008), they are elusive structural targets due to their segmental flexibility. Diabodies have

orientation-specific effects has remained speculative (Ballinger and Wells, 1998; Syed et al., 1998; Wells and de Vos, 1993). Studies using mutated, chimeric, or genetically modified receptors have pointed to the importance of the extracellular domain structure in mediating signaling output (Barclay et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2009; Millot et al., 2004; Rowlinson et al., 2008; Seubert et al., 2003; Staerk et al., 2011). Nevertheless, for this parameter to be exploited in a manner that could be useful therapeutically, surrogate ligands with the capacity to induce alternative signaling outputs through naturally, non-mutated receptors on human cells are required. We used diabodies because they would presumably induce large-scale alterations in dimer geometry and have been previously shown to have the capacity to act as agonists of c-MPL (Nakano et al., 2009). Although antibodies have been shown to elicit diverse functional and signaling outmore constrained structures than antibodies (Perisic et al., 1994), which allowed us to capture the receptor-diabody signaling complexes crystallographically.

Our results indicate that cytokine receptor dimer architectural and spacing constraints compatible with signaling are liberal but there exist limits at which signaling is impacted. This is consistent with the diverse range of dimeric ligand-receptor geometries seen in agonistic cytokine-receptor complex structures (Spangler et al., 2014; Wang et al., 2009). Consequently, we find that large-scale re-orientations of receptor dimer topology are required to qualitatively and quantitatively modulate signaling output. We propose that this strategy is potentially applicable to other dimeric receptor systems, such as RTKs, where the role of ligand is to bind to the ECDs, dimerize, and/or re-orient receptors. The broader implications of our results are that signaling patterns delivered by endogenous ligands only constitute one of many possible signaling patterns that can be elicited through a dimeric receptor system. By using surrogate or engineered ligands to re-orient receptor dimer topology, a given dimeric receptor can be induced to deliver a wide range of signals of different amplitudes and pathway specificities. Cytokine receptor dimers have the potential to be modulated as rheostats to control signaling output, similar to partial and biased GPCR agonists. Given that many endogenous cytokines and growth factors have adverse effects as therapeutic agonists, our results portend the possibility of dimer re-orientation as a strategy to "tune" signaling output to minimize toxicity, maximize efficacy, or elicit specific functional outcomes.

The precise molecular mechanisms through which the diabodies described here alter intracellular signaling by remodeling dimer geometry remain unclear, but the signal tuning effects are clearly the result of extracellular receptor dimer proximity (distance) and geometry (orientation) effects. Our single-molecule fluorescence tracking shows that the assembled signaling complexes are not due to higher-order assemblies that could have resulted from diabody-induced clustering of preformed EpoR receptor dimers (Constantinescu et al., 2001). Even if receptor clustering were occurring to some degree, which we do not rule out, the diabodies still exert a powerful modulatory effect on signaling through repositioning receptor topology whether or not these are monovalent or polyvalent cell-surface complexes. This strategy does not rely on a particular valency of the signaling complexes. For example, our results can be reconciled with a recent mechanistic study of cytokine receptor activation (Brooks et al., 2014). Growth hormone was shown to activate its receptor (GH-R) by rotating the ECD subunits of a pre-associated but inactive GH-R dimer, resulting in separation of the Box 1 receptor ICD motifs and removal of the JAK2 pseudokinase inhibitory domain, which collectively result in productive JAK2 kinase domain positioning for receptor activation. Diabodies could presumably disrupt a quiescent cytokine receptor dimer to form an activated dimer topology through a related "separation" mechanism that relieves JAK2 inhibition. For the agonist DA5, the outcome of this separation would be placement of the JAK kinase domains into productive apposition but one that is topologically distinct from that induced by the natural cytokine. In the case of DA10, the kinase domains of JAK2 are separated such that they are not in proper position to trans-phosphorylate. We contend that such a JAK activation mechanism could still be operative in the context of non-native dimer architectures.

The surprisingly large EpoR dimer separation distances imposed by the agonistic diabodies may be rationalized by the fact that the intracellular, receptor-associated JAKs are long molecules that exists as a dynamic ensemble of extended and compact conformations, which could span >100Å distances between receptors in a dimer (Lupardus et al., 2011). Given that the kinase domain of JAK resides at its C terminus, which is most distal to the receptor bound by the JAK FERM domain, it is likely sensitive to positioning relative to its substrates that it transphosphorylates. Changes in the relative positioning of the kinase domain to its substrates could influence the efficiency and patterns of phosphorylation through steric effects imposed by

extracellular dimer geometry. By manipulating the dimer geometry, as seen with the non-signaling diabody DA10, such an approach can achieve complete shutoff of constitutively active signaling pathways (JAK2V617F) from the outside of the cell. This is conceptually distinct from Ankyrin repeat antagonists to ErbB2 that were shown to prevent activation of wild-type ErbB2 by distorting the receptors such that they cannot form signaling-competent dimers (Jost et al., 2013). Here, the role of DA10 is to dimerize EpoR yet terminate ligand-independent signaling, possibly through enforcing a large dimer separation distance. This strategy is applicable to diseases mediated by mutated, constitutively active receptors (Bivona et al., 2011; Pikman et al., 2006; Rebouissou et al., 2009; Zenatti et al., 2011) and could offer the advantage of specificity and reduced toxicity versus broadly neutralizing kinase inhibitors.

Diabodies are a convenient surrogate ligand because they can be created from existing monoclonal antibody sequences, which exist to most human cell-surface receptors. However, dimer reorientation could be achieved by many different types of engineered scaffolds. A range of altered dimerization geometries could be screened with different dimerizing scaffolds for those that induced a particular signaling profile or functional property. In principle, targeting receptor ECD dimer orientation as a new structure-activity parameter for drug discovery for many type I or type II cell-surface receptors is feasible.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Further details for production, characterization, and crystallization of diabodies; signaling and functional characterization; in vivo imaging of surface DA-EpoR complex formation; and isolation and treatment of JAK2V617Fpositive human samples can be found online in the Extended Experimental Procedures.

Structure Determination and Refinement

All crystallographic data were collected at the Stanford Synchroton Radiation Lightsource (Stanford) beamlines 12-2. Data were indexed, integrated and scaled using XDS or HKL2000 program suits (Kabsch, 2010; Otwinowski et al., 1997). The three DA-EpoR crystal structures were solved by molecular replacement with the program PHASER (McCoy, 2007) and refined with PHENIX and COOT.

Primity Bio Pathway Phenotyping

UT-7-EpoR cells were starved overnight; stimulated with saturated concentrations of EPO and the indicated diabodies for 15, 60, and 120 min; and fixed with 1% PFA for 10 min at room temperature. The fixed cells were prepared for antibody staining according to standard protocols (Krutzik and Nolan, 2003). Briefly, the fixed cells were permeabilized in 90% methanol for 15 min. The cells were stained with a panel of antibodies specific to the markers indicated (Primity Bio Pathway Phenotyping service and Table S1) and analyzed on an LSRII flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson). The Log2 Ratio of the median fluorescence intensities (MFI) of the stimulated samples divided by the unstimulated control samples were calculated as a measure of response.

Single-Molecule Tracking, Co-localization, and Co-tracking Analyses

Single-molecule localization and single-molecule tracking were carried out using the multiple-target tracing (MTT) algorithm (Sergé et al., 2008) as described previously (You et al., 2010). Step-length histograms were obtained from single-molecule trajectories and fitted by a two fraction mixture model of Brownian diffusion. Average diffusion constants were determined from the slope (2–10 steps) of the mean square displacement versus time lapse diagrams. Immobile molecules were identified by the density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise (DBSCAN) (Sander et al., 1998) algorithm as described recently (Roder et al., 2014). For comparing diffusion properties and for co-tracking analysis, immobile particles were excluded from the data set. Individual molecules detected in the both spectral channels were regarded as co-localized if a particle was detected in both channels of a single frame within a distance threshold of 100 nm radius.

HSC and Progenitor-Derived Colony Genotyping Assay

CD34+ cells were sorted from human JAK2V617F homo- and heterozygous myeloproliferative samples. CD34+ cells were plated in methylcellulose with and without erythropoietin (MethoCult H4434 and H4535; STEMCELL Technologies). Colony formation was assessed after 14 days in culture by microscopy and scored on the basis of morphology. JAK2V617F and JAK2 WT TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay (Applied Biosystems) was designed as published recently (Levine et al., 2006), and details are available upon request. The genotype of each colony was determined by Custom TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's specification.

ACCESSION NUMBERS

The Protein Data Bank (PDB) accession numbers for the three DA/EpoR complex structures reported in this paper are 4Y5V (DA5-EpoR), 4Y5X (DA10-EpoR), and 4Y5Y (DA330-EpoR). RNA-seq data can be accessed via National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) BioProject under the accession number PRJNA275804.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes Extended Experimental Procedures, six figures, two tables, and five movies and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.02.011.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

I.M. and K.C.G. conceived the project and wrote the manuscript. I.M., T.S.W., H.F., V.G., and P.K. functionally characterized the diabodies. S.W., C.P.R., and J.P. designed and performed single-particle microscopy experiments. V.G., S.N.C., I.P., G.W., W.-J.H., R.M., and I.L.W. designed and performed experiments in human JAK2V617F-positive patients. G.W. and R.S. performed RNAseq libraries and analysis. I.M. and F.G. expressed recombinant proteins and performed crystallization experiments of DA-EpoR complexes. S.D. and P.M. designed and performed molecular dynamics experiments.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Kevin Jude for technical assistance; the Division of Hematology Tissue Bank and the patients for donating their samples; Dr. William Vainchenker, Institut Gustave Roussy for providing patient JAK2V617F homozygous CD34+ cells; and Dr. Christian Pecquet for support with cell lines. This work was supported by the BELSPO and de Duve Institute Delori postdoctoral fellowships (V.G.), T32CA009287-35 (Training Program un Investigative Oncology) and TG2-01159 (Stanford CIRM Training Program) (to W.I.H.), NIH U01HL099999 (to G.W., R.S., and I.L.W.), FRS-FNRS, Salus Sanguinis Foundation, Fondation contre le cancer (Belgium), Programs IAP-BeMGI and ARC (S.N.C.), the Ludwig Institute (K.C.G.), NIH-RO1-Al51321 (K.C.G.), and the Howard Hughes Medical Institute (K.C.G.)

Received: December 4, 2014 Revised: January 22, 2015 Accepted: February 3, 2015 Published: February 26, 2015

REFERENCES

Ballinger, M.D., and Wells, J.A. (1998). Will any dimer do? Nat. Struct. Biol. 5, 938–940.

Baxter, E.J., Scott, L.M., Campbell, P.J., East, C., Fourouclas, N., Swanton, S., Vassiliou, G.S., Bench, A.J., Boyd, E.M., Curtin, N., et al.; Cancer Genome Project (2005). Acquired mutation of the tyrosine kinase JAK2 in human myelo-proliferative disorders. Lancet *365*, 1054–1061.

Bazan, J.F. (1990). Haemopoietic receptors and helical cytokines. Immunol. Today *11*, 350–354.

Bivona, T.G., Hieronymus, H., Parker, J., Chang, K., Taron, M., Rosell, R., Moonsamy, P., Dahlman, K., Miller, V.A., Costa, C., et al. (2011). FAS and NF-κB signalling modulate dependence of lung cancers on mutant EGFR. Nature 471, 523–526.

Boersma, Y.L., Chao, G., Steiner, D., Wittrup, K.D., and Plückthun, A. (2011). Bispecific designed ankyrin repeat proteins (DARPins) targeting epidermal growth factor receptor inhibit A431 cell proliferation and receptor recycling. J. Biol. Chem. 286, 41273–41285.

Boulanger, M.J., Chow, D.C., Brevnova, E.E., and Garcia, K.C. (2003). Hexameric structure and assembly of the interleukin-6/IL-6 alpha-receptor/ gp130 complex. Science 300, 2101–2104.

Brooks, A.J., Dai, W., O'Mara, M.L., Abankwa, D., Chhabra, Y., Pelekanos, R.A., Gardon, O., Tunny, K.A., Blucher, K.M., Morton, C.J., et al. (2014). Mechanism of activation of protein kinase JAK2 by the growth hormone receptor. Science *344*, 1249783.

Constantinescu, S.N., Ghaffari, S., and Lodish, H.F. (1999). The Erythropoietin Receptor: Structure, Activation and Intracellular Signal Transduction. Trends Endocrinol. Metab. *10*, 18–23.

Constantinescu, S.N., Huang, L.J., Nam, H., and Lodish, H.F. (2001). The erythropoietin receptor cytosolic juxtamembrane domain contains an essential, precisely oriented, hydrophobic motif. Mol. Cell *7*, 377–385.

de Vos, A.M., Ultsch, M., and Kossiakoff, A.A. (1992). Human growth hormone and extracellular domain of its receptor: crystal structure of the complex. Science *255*, 306–312.

Decker, T., and Kovarik, P. (2000). Serine phosphorylation of STATs. Oncogene 19, 2628–2637.

Drake, M.T., Violin, J.D., Whalen, E.J., Wisler, J.W., Shenoy, S.K., and Lefkowitz, R.J. (2008). beta-arrestin-biased agonism at the beta2-adrenergic receptor. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 5669–5676.

Dupont, S., Massé, A., James, C., Teyssandier, I., Lécluse, Y., Larbret, F., Ugo, V., Saulnier, P., Koscielny, S., Le Couédic, J.P., et al. (2007). The JAK2 617V>F mutation triggers erythropoietin hypersensitivity and terminal erythroid amplification in primary cells from patients with polycythemia vera. Blood *110*, 1013–1021.

Gäbler, K., Behrmann, I., and Haan, C. (2013). JAK2 mutants (e.g., JAK2V617F) and their importance as drug targets in myeloproliferative neoplasms. JAK-STAT 2, e25025.

Gent, J., van Kerkhof, P., Roza, M., Bu, G., and Strous, G.J. (2002). Ligand-independent growth hormone receptor dimerization occurs in the endoplasmic reticulum and is required for ubiquitin system-dependent endocytosis. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 99, 9858–9863.

Hansen, G., Hercus, T.R., McClure, B.J., Stomski, F.C., Dottore, M., Powell, J., Ramshaw, H., Woodcock, J.M., Xu, Y., Guthridge, M., et al. (2008). The structure of the GM-CSF receptor complex reveals a distinct mode of cytokine receptor activation. Cell *134*, 496–507.

Harwerth, I.M., Wels, W., Marte, B.M., and Hynes, N.E. (1992). Monoclonal antibodies against the extracellular domain of the erbB-2 receptor function as partial ligand agonists. J. Biol. Chem. *267*, 15160–15167.

Heller, N.M., Qi, X., Gesbert, F., and Keegan, A.D. (2012). The extracellular and transmembrane domains of the γ C and interleukin (IL)-13 receptor α 1 chains, not their cytoplasmic domains, dictate the nature of signaling responses to IL-4 and IL-13. J. Biol. Chem. 287, 31948–31961.

Ihle, J.N., Witthuhn, B.A., Quelle, F.W., Yamamoto, K., and Silvennoinen, O. (1995). Signaling through the hematopoietic cytokine receptors. Annu. Rev. Immunol. *13*, 369–398.

James, C., Ugo, V., Casadevall, N., Constantinescu, S.N., and Vainchenker, W. (2005). A JAK2 mutation in myeloproliferative disorders: pathogenesis and therapeutic and scientific prospects. Trends Mol. Med. *11*, 546–554.

Jost, C., Schilling, J., Tamaskovic, R., Schwill, M., Honegger, A., and Plückthun, A. (2013). Structural basis for eliciting a cytotoxic effect in HER2-overexpressing cancer cells via binding to the extracellular domain of HER2. Structure *21*, 1979–1991.

Kabsch, W. (2010). Xds. Acta Crystallogr. D. Biol. Crystallogr. 66, 125–132.

Kai, M., Motoki, K., Yoshida, H., Emuta, C., Chisaka, Y., Tsuruhata, K., Endo, C., Muto, M., Shimabe, M., Nishiyama, U., et al. (2008). Switching constant domains enhances agonist activities of antibodies to a thrombopoietin receptor. Nat. Biotechnol. *26*, 209–211.

Kavran, J.M., McCabe, J.M., Byrne, P.O., Connacher, M.K., Wang, Z., Ramek, A., Sarabipour, S., Shan, Y., Shaw, D.E., Hristova, K., et al. (2014). How IGF-1 activates its receptor. eLife *3*, e03772.

Klemm, J.D., Schreiber, S.L., and Crabtree, G.R. (1998). Dimerization as a regulatory mechanism in signal transduction. Annu. Rev. Immunol. *16*, 569–592.

Kralovics, R., Passamonti, F., Buser, A.S., Teo, S.S., Tiedt, R., Passweg, J.R., Tichelli, A., Cazzola, M., and Skoda, R.C. (2005). A gain-of-function mutation of JAK2 in myeloproliferative disorders. N. Engl. J. Med. *352*, 1779–1790.

Krutzik, P.O., and Nolan, G.P. (2003). Intracellular phospho-protein staining techniques for flow cytometry: monitoring single cell signaling events. Cytometry A 55, 61–70.

LaPorte, S.L., Juo, Z.S., Vaclavikova, J., Colf, L.A., Qi, X., Heller, N.M., Keegan, A.D., and Garcia, K.C. (2008). Molecular and structural basis of cytokine receptor pleiotropy in the interleukin-4/13 system. Cell *132*, 259–272.

Lemmon, M.A., and Schlessinger, J. (2010). Cell signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases. Cell *141*, 1117–1134.

Levine, R.L., Wadleigh, M., Cools, J., Ebert, B.L., Wernig, G., Huntly, B.J., Boggon, T.J., Wlodarska, I., Clark, J.J., Moore, S., et al. (2005). Activating mutation in the tyrosine kinase JAK2 in polycythemia vera, essential thrombocythemia, and myeloid metaplasia with myelofibrosis. Cancer Cell 7, 387–397.

Levine, R.L., Belisle, C., Wadleigh, M., Zahrieh, D., Lee, S., Chagnon, P., Gilliland, D.G., and Busque, L. (2006). X-inactivation-based clonality analysis and quantitative JAK2V617F assessment reveal a strong association between clonality and JAK2V617F in PV but not ET/MMM, and identifies a subset of JAK2V617F-negative ET and MMM patients with clonal hematopoiesis. Blood *107*, 4139–4141.

Li, W., Lan, H., Liu, H., Fu, Z., Yang, Y., Han, W., Guo, F., Liu, Y., Zhang, H., Liu, J., and Zheng, X. (2013). The activation and differential signalling of the growth hormone receptor induced by pGH or anti-idiotypic monoclonal antibodies in primary rat hepatocytes. Mol. Cell. Endocrinol. *376*, 51–59.

Liao, W., Lin, J.X., and Leonard, W.J. (2011). IL-2 family cytokines: new insights into the complex roles of IL-2 as a broad regulator of T helper cell differentiation. Curr. Opin. Immunol. 23, 598–604.

Lim, A.C., Ketchem, R.R., Borges, L., Carabeo, T., Carter, J., Hoover, J.E., Hu, Z., Wittekind, M., Zhou, H., and Mehlin, C. (2010). A diversity of antibody epitopes can induce signaling through the erythropoietin receptor. Biochemistry *49*, 3797–3804.

Liu, W., Kawahara, M., Ueda, H., and Nagamune, T. (2009). The influence of domain structures on the signal transduction of chimeric receptors derived from the erythropoietin receptor. J. Biochem. *145*, 575–584.

Livnah, O., Stura, E.A., Johnson, D.L., Middleton, S.A., Mulcahy, L.S., Wrighton, N.C., Dower, W.J., Jolliffe, L.K., and Wilson, I.A. (1996). Functional mimicry of a protein hormone by a peptide agonist: the EPO receptor complex at 2.8 A. Science *273*, 464–471.

Livnah, O., Johnson, D.L., Stura, E.A., Farrell, F.X., Barbone, F.P., You, Y., Liu, K.D., Goldsmith, M.A., He, W., Krause, C.D., et al. (1998). An antagonist peptide-EPO receptor complex suggests that receptor dimerization is not sufficient for activation. Nat. Struct. Biol. *5*, 993–1004.

Livnah, O., Stura, E.A., Middleton, S.A., Johnson, D.L., Jolliffe, L.K., and Wilson, I.A. (1999). Crystallographic evidence for preformed dimers of erythropoietin receptor before ligand activation. Science *283*, 987–990.

Lu, X., Huang, L.J., and Lodish, H.F. (2008). Dimerization by a cytokine receptor is necessary for constitutive activation of JAK2V617F. J. Biol. Chem. 283, 5258–5266.

Lupardus, P.J., Skiniotis, G., Rice, A.J., Thomas, C., Fischer, S., Walz, T., and Garcia, K.C. (2011). Structural snapshots of full-length Jak1, a transmembrane gp130/IL-6/IL-6/R α cytokine receptor complex, and the receptor-Jak1 holocomplex. Structure *19*, 45–55.

McCoy, A.J. (2007). Solving structures of protein complexes by molecular replacement with Phaser. Acta Crystallogr. D Biol. Crystallogr. 63, 32–41.

Millot, G.A., Vainchenker, W., Duménil, D., and Svinarchuk, F. (2004). Differential signalling of NH2-terminal flag-labelled thrombopoietin receptor activated by TPO or anti-FLAG antibodies. Cell. Signal. *16*, 355–363.

Müller-Newen, G., Küster, A., Wijdenes, J., Schaper, F., and Heinrich, P.C. (2000). Studies on the interleukin-6-type cytokine signal transducer gp130 reveal a novel mechanism of receptor activation by monoclonal antibodies. J. Biol. Chem. *275*, 4579–4586.

Nakano, K., Kojima, T., Kasutani, K., Senoh, C., Natori, O., Ishii, S., Tsunoda, H., and Hattori, K. (2009). Effective screening method of agonistic diabodies based on autocrine growth. J. Immunol. Methods *347*, 31–35.

O'Shea, J.J., and Paul, W.E. (2010). Mechanisms underlying lineage commitment and plasticity of helper CD4+ T cells. Science 327, 1098–1102.

Ohashi, H., Maruyama, K., Liu, Y.C., and Yoshimura, A. (1994). Ligandinduced activation of chimeric receptors between the erythropoietin receptor and receptor tyrosine kinases. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *91*, 158–162.

Ottemann, K.M., Xiao, W., Shin, Y.K., and Koshland, D.E., Jr. (1999). A piston model for transmembrane signaling of the aspartate receptor. Science 285, 1751–1754.

Otwinowski, Z., Minor, W., and Carter, C.W., Jr. (1997). Processing of X-ray diffraction data collected in oscillation mode. In Methods in Enzymology (New York: Academic Press), pp. 307–326.

Pattyn, E., Van Ostade, X., Schauvliege, L., Verhee, A., Kalai, M., Vandekerckhove, J., and Tavernier, J. (1999). Dimerization of the interferon type I receptor IFNaR2-2 is sufficient for induction of interferon effector genes but not for full antiviral activity. J. Biol. Chem. 274, 34838–34845.

Perisic, O., Webb, P.A., Holliger, P., Winter, G., and Williams, R.L. (1994). Crystal structure of a diabody, a bivalent antibody fragment. Structure 2, 1217–1226.

Pikman, Y., Lee, B.H., Mercher, T., McDowell, E., Ebert, B.L., Gozo, M., Cuker, A., Wernig, G., Moore, S., Galinsky, I., et al. (2006). MPLW515L is a novel somatic activating mutation in myelofibrosis with myeloid metaplasia. PLoS Med. *3*, e270.

Rebouissou, S., Amessou, M., Couchy, G., Poussin, K., Imbeaud, S., Pilati, C., Izard, T., Balabaud, C., Bioulac-Sage, P., and Zucman-Rossi, J. (2009). Frequent in-frame somatic deletions activate gp130 in inflammatory hepatocellular tumours. Nature *457*, 200–204.

Riese, D.J., 2nd. (2011). Ligand-based receptor tyrosine kinase partial agonists: New paradigm for cancer drug discovery? Expert Opin. Drug Discov. 6, 185–193.

Ring, A.M., Lin, J., Feng, D., Mitra, S., Rickert, M., Bowman, G.R., Pande, V.S., Li, P., Moraga, I., Spolski, R., et al. (2012). Mechanistic and structural insight into the functional dichotomy between IL-2 and IL-15. Nat. Immunol. *13*, 1187–1195.

Roder, F., Wilmes, S., Richter, C.P., and Piehler, J. (2014). Rapid transfer of transmembrane proteins for single molecule dimerization assays in polymer-supported membranes. ACS Chem. Biol. *9*, 2479–2484.

Rothbauer, U., Zolghadr, K., Muyldermans, S., Schepers, A., Cardoso, M.C., and Leonhardt, H. (2008). A versatile nanotrap for biochemical and functional studies with fluorescent fusion proteins. Mol. Cell. Proteomics 7, 282–289.

Rowlinson, S.W., Yoshizato, H., Barclay, J.L., Brooks, A.J., Behncken, S.N., Kerr, L.M., Millard, K., Palethorpe, K., Nielsen, K., Clyde-Smith, J., et al. (2008). An agonist-induced conformational change in the growth hormone receptor determines the choice of signalling pathway. Nat. Cell Biol. *10*, 740–747.

Sander, J., Ester, M., Kriegel, H.P., and Xu, X.W. (1998). Density-based clustering in spatial databases: The algorithm GDBSCAN and its applications. Data Min. Knowl. Discov. *2*, 169–194.

Seita, J., Sahoo, D., Rossi, D.J., Bhattacharya, D., Serwold, T., Inlay, M.A., Ehrlich, L.I., Fathman, J.W., Dill, D.L., and Weissman, I.L. (2012). Gene Expression Commons: an open platform for absolute gene expression profiling. PLoS ONE 7, e40321.

Sergé, A., Bertaux, N., Rigneault, H., and Marguet, D. (2008). Dynamic multiple-target tracing to probe spatiotemporal cartography of cell membranes. Nat. Methods 5, 687–694.

Seubert, N., Royer, Y., Staerk, J., Kubatzky, K.F., Moucadel, V., Krishnakumar, S., Smith, S.O., and Constantinescu, S.N. (2003). Active and inactive orientations of the transmembrane and cytosolic domains of the erythropoietin receptor dimer. Mol. Cell *12*, 1239–1250.

Socolovsky, M., Fallon, A.E., and Lodish, H.F. (1998). The prolactin receptor rescues EpoR-/- erythroid progenitors and replaces EpoR in a synergistic interaction with c-kit. Blood *92*, 1491–1496.

Spangler, J.B., Moraga, I., Mendoza, J.L., and Garcia, K.C. (2014). Insights into cytokine-receptor interactions from cytokine engineering. Annu. Rev. Immunol. Published online December 10, 2014. http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev-immunol-032713-120211.

Staerk, J., Defour, J.P., Pecquet, C., Leroy, E., Antoine-Poirel, H., Brett, I., Itaya, M., Smith, S.O., Vainchenker, W., and Constantinescu, S.N. (2011). Orientation-specific signalling by thrombopoietin receptor dimers. EMBO J. *30*, 4398–4413.

Stroud, R.M., and Wells, J.A. (2004). Mechanistic diversity of cytokine receptor signaling across cell membranes. Sci. STKE 2004, re7.

Syed, R.S., Reid, S.W., Li, C., Cheetham, J.C., Aoki, K.H., Liu, B., Zhan, H., Osslund, T.D., Chirino, A.J., Zhang, J., et al. (1998). Efficiency of signalling through cytokine receptors depends critically on receptor orientation. Nature *395*, 511–516.

Thomas, C., Moraga, I., Levin, D., Krutzik, P.O., Podoplelova, Y., Trejo, A., Lee, C., Yarden, G., Vleck, S.E., Glenn, J.S., et al. (2011). Structural linkage between ligand discrimination and receptor activation by type I interferons. Cell *146*, 621–632. Ullrich, A., and Schlessinger, J. (1990). Signal transduction by receptors with tyrosine kinase activity. Cell *61*, 203–212.

Venkatakrishnan, A.J., Deupi, X., Lebon, G., Tate, C.G., Schertler, G.F., and Babu, M.M. (2013). Molecular signatures of G-protein-coupled receptors. Nature *494*, 185–194.

Verstovsek, S., Kantarjian, H., Mesa, R.A., Pardanani, A.D., Cortes-Franco, J., Thomas, D.A., Estrov, Z., Fridman, J.S., Bradley, E.C., Erickson-Viitanen, S., et al. (2010). Safety and efficacy of INCB018424, a JAK1 and JAK2 inhibitor, in myelofibrosis. N. Engl. J. Med. *363*, 1117–1127.

Walter, M.R., Windsor, W.T., Nagabhushan, T.L., Lundell, D.J., Lunn, C.A., Zauodny, P.J., and Narula, S.K. (1995). Crystal structure of a complex between interferon-gamma and its soluble high-affinity receptor. Nature *376*, 230–235.

Wang, X., Rickert, M., and Garcia, K.C. (2005). Structure of the quaternary complex of interleukin-2 with its alpha, beta, and gammac receptors. Science *310*, 1159–1163.

Wang, X., Lupardus, P., Laporte, S.L., and Garcia, K.C. (2009). Structural biology of shared cytokine receptors. Annu. Rev. Immunol. 27, 29–60.

Wehrman, T., He, X., Raab, B., Dukipatti, A., Blau, H., and Garcia, K.C. (2007). Structural and mechanistic insights into nerve growth factor interactions with the TrkA and p75 receptors. Neuron 53, 25–38.

Wells, J.A., and de Vos, A.M. (1993). Structure and function of human growth hormone: implications for the hematopoietins. Annu. Rev. Biophys. Biomol. Struct. *22*, 329–351.

You, C., Wilmes, S., Beutel, O., Löchte, S., Podoplelowa, Y., Roder, F., Richter, C., Seine, T., Schaible, D., Uzé, G., et al. (2010). Self-controlled monofunctionalization of quantum dots for multiplexed protein tracking in live cells. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. *49*, 4108–4112.

Zenatti, P.P., Ribeiro, D., Li, W., Zuurbier, L., Silva, M.C., Paganin, M., Tritapoe, J., Hixon, J.A., Silveira, A.B., Cardoso, B.A., et al. (2011). Oncogenic IL7R gainof-function mutations in childhood T-cell acute lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat. Genet. *43*, 932–939.

Zhang, H., Wilson, I.A., and Lerner, R.A. (2012a). Selection of antibodies that regulate phenotype from intracellular combinatorial antibody libraries. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *109*, 15728–15733.

Zhang, H., Yea, K., Xie, J., Ruiz, D., Wilson, I.A., and Lerner, R.A. (2013). Selecting agonists from single cells infected with combinatorial antibody libraries. Chem. Biol. *20*, 734–741.

EXTENDED EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

Cell Lines and Media

The Ba/F3 cells expressing mouse or human receptors and mouse or human JAK2, U2O2 and γ 2A cells were grown in RPMI containing 10% v/v FBS, penicillin-streptomycin, and L-glutamine (2 mM) and supplemented with IL-3. The human UT-7 cells were grown in DMEM containing 10% v/v FBS, penicillin-streptomycin and L-glutamine (2 mM) and supplemented with EPO. All cell lines were maintained at 37°C with 5% CO₂. HeLa cells were cultivated at 37°C and 5% CO₂ in MEM's Earle's with stable glutamine supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), non-essential amino acids and HEPES buffer without addition of antibiotics. For minimizing the background fluorescence from non-specifically adsorbed dye molecules in single molecule imaging experiments, glass coverslides were coated with a poly-L-lysine-graft-(polyethylene glycol) copolymer functionalized with RGD as described before. Cells were seeded in 60 mm cell-culture dishes to a density of ca. 60% confluence and transiently transfected via calcium-phosphate-precipitation according to standard protocols. Transfected cells were transferred to coated 35 mm glass coverslides. Single molecule imaging experiments were conducted 48 hr post-transfection. Imaging was performed in custom-designed microscopy chambers with a volume of 1 ml.

Plasmid Constructs

For single molecule fluorescence microscopy, monomeric variant of eGFP was N-terminally fused to EpoR. This construct was inserted into a modified versions of pSems-26 m (Covalys) including a signal sequence of Igκ.

Protein Expression and Purification

EpoR diabodies and an EpoR glycomutant (N52Q and N164Q) were cloned into the pAcGP67-A vector (BD Biosciences) in frame with an N-terminal gp67 signal sequence and a C-terminal hexahistidine tag and produced using the baculovirus expression system, as described in LaPorte et al. (2008). Baculovirus stocks were prepared by transfection and amplification in *Spodoptera frugiperda* (*Sf9*) cells grown in SF900II media (Invitrogen) and protein expression was carried out in suspension Trichoplusiani (High Five) cells grown in InsectXpress media (Lonza). Following expression, proteins were captured from High Five supernatants after 60 hr by nickel-NTA agarose (QIAGEN) affinity chromatography, concentrated, and purified by size exclusion chromatography on a Superdex 200 column (GE Healthcare), equilibrated in 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.2) containing 150 mM NaCl and 15% glycerol. Recombinant dibodies and EpoR were purified to greater than 98% homogeneity. For crystallization studies, the diabodies and EpoR were nickel purified and carboxy-peptidase treated overnight at a ratio 1/100 and then purified by size exclusion chromatography. 98% pure diabodies and EpoR were mixed together in a ratio 1:1 and co-purified by size exclusion chromatography to obtain the ternary complex (1 diabodies/2 EpoR). The complex was concentrated to 8-20 mg/ml for crystallization. For the DA330_EpoR complex, the complex was methylated and purified by size exclusion chromatography. Development 280 nm using a Nanodrop2000 spectrometer (Thermo Scientific).

An anti-GFP nanobody (antiGFP-NB) (Rothbauer et al., 2008) fused to a C-terminal ybbR-tag (Yin et al., 2005) for site-specific labeling by enzymatic phosphopantetheinyl-transfer was cloned into the pBAC vector. Generation of a baculovirus and protein expression in *Sf*9 cells were carried out as described above. The protein was purified to homogeneity by immobilized metal ion chromatography and labeled using coenzyme A conjugated to DY 647 and ATTO Rho11, respectively, according to published protocols (Yin et al., 2005). A typical labeling degree of > 90% was achieved.

Crystallization and Data Collection

The three diabodies_EpoR complexes crystals were grown in sitting drops at 25°C by mixing 0.1 µl protein (12 mg/ml in 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.2) and 150 mM NaCl) with an equal volume of different crystallization solutions. For the DA5_EpoR complex the buffer used was composed of 8% PEG6K, 0.1 M magnesium chloride and 0.1 M MES pH 6. For DA10_EpoR complex the buffer used contained 10% PEG8K, 1 M sodium citrate pH 7. For DA330_EpoR complex the buffer used had 12% PEG6K and 0.1 M bicine pH 9.5. Crystals grew in 2-5 days. They were subsequently flash frozen in liquid nitrogen using mother liquor containing 25% glycerol (DA5 and DA330) and 30% glucose (DA10) as a cryoprotectant. A 2.6 Å dataset for DA5_EpoR complex, 3.15 Å dataset for DA10_EpoR complex and 2.85 Å dataset for DA330_EpoR complex were collected at beamline 12.2, Stanford Synchrotron Radiation Lightsource (SLAC). The data were indexed, integrated with the XDS and HKL2000 packages, and scaled by Aimless in CCP4 suite. Data processing statistics are presented in Table S2.

Structure Determination and Refinement

The three DA-EpoR crystal structures were solved by molecular replacement with the program PHASER (5) using the coordinates of the 1121B Fab heavy chain (PDB ID 3S34), Fab light chain of human anti-HIV-1 Env antibody A32 (PDB ID 3TNM), and SD1 (8-119aa) and SD2 (120-220aa) domains of EpoR (PDB ID 1EER) as searching models respectively, and refined with PHENIX and COOT. While the inter-domain linkers are disorderered, DA5 and DA10 clearly show diabodies in the crystal lattice. The subunit relationship of DA330 may represent domain-swapped diabodies as scFv packing in similar but non-identical relationships as the diabody. Ramachandran analysis was performed with MolProbity.

SPR experiments were conducted on a Biacore T100 instrument using a Biacore SA sensor chip (GE Healthcare). Biotinylated EpoR was captured at a low density (200-300) response units (RU)) and kinetics measurements were conducted at 30μ L/min. An unrelated biotinylated protein was immobilized as a reference surface for the SA sensor chip with matching RU to the experimental surface. All measurements were made using 3-fold serial dilutions of the stimulatory and non-stimulatory EPO mimetic peptides (EMP) in the running buffer (1xHBS-P (GE Healthcare), 0.1% BSA). The EpoR bound to the chip surface did not required regeneration due to the fast off-rate kinetics exhibited by the two peptides. Kinetic parameters were determined using 120 s of EMP association time and 300 s dissociation time. All data fitting was performed using the Biacore T100 evaluation software version 2.0 with a 1:1 Langmuir binding model.

Molecular Simulations

Computational simulations were initiated from the initial structures (Figures 2E and 2F) of the DA5/EpoR and DA10/EpoR complexes. All missing linkers have been built using MODELER 9.12 (Sali and Blundell, 1993) and the MMTSB toolset (Feig et al., 2004). The original structures were left unchanged and the loops between the V_H C-termini and V_L N-termini were modeled. Two hundred loops for each of the five residue stretches (GGGGS) were generated and the models with the lowest modeler scores were selected. These completed structures were coarse-grained using a simplified protein model (Minary and Levitt, 2008) that has been shown to predict all known fold topologies and was successfully applied to probe functionally relevant conformational changes in large molecular machines such as chaperonines (Zhang et al., 2012b).

The structures were then fed into our Natural Move Monte Carlo (NMMC) (Minary and Levitt, 2010) protocol. In NMMC the system is partitioned into independently moving segments (that can be part of the same chain) and melting regions. The independent (translational and rotational) motion of segments may break the molecular chain that is restored by a chain closure algorithm (Minary and Levitt, 2010) applied on residues in the melting region. This technique has been successfully used to study conformational changes on protein (Zhang et al., 2012b) and RNA (Sim et al., 2012) assemblies and recently for the prediction of primary chromatin structure (Minary and Levitt, 2014). To evaluate how diabody flexibility in the linker region will affect the distance distribution of the EpoR ligands, the melting region comprised the three center residues along the linker regions of the two diabodies. The choice of using three residues was made as a compromise between maximizing the conservation of the initial structures (e.g., experimental information) and providing sufficient flexibility in the linker regions.

To further accelerate conformational sampling efficiency, we used parallel tempering (Swendsen and Wang, 1986), in which NMMC simulations were performed parallel using six replicas that span a temperature range from 300K to 529K (300, 336, 376, 421, 472, 529). The simultaneous propagation of the six systems has been performed for 2,000,000 Monte Carlo iterations and the inter replica exchange probability was set to 0.1. The acceptance rate of propagating conformations within individual replicas and the inter replica exchange ratio were 0.3 and 0.1, respectively. All conformational statistics were collected from the system at 300K. The simulation can be reproduced by following the tutorial available at http://www.cs.ox.ac.uk/mosaics.

Molecular Simulation Videos

A sequence of conformations that morphs between the predicted major conformational states (see Figure S6) of DA5 and DA10 were generated using a linear morphing algorithm available in the UCSF Chimera package (Pettersen et al., 2004). A total of 39 intermediate conformational states connect the three representative structures for each system and have increasing EpoR distances. For representation purposes the experimentally measured X-ray structure domains were superimposed on each frame with exception of the linkers, which were taken from the coarse-grained trajectory (Minary and Levitt, 2008) and thus are depicted in stick representation. The frames were edited in Pymol and the movie was mpeg4 encoded with MEncoder and converted to the mov format with ffmpeg.

EPO Receptor Dimerization/Oligomerization Detected by β-Galactosidase Protein Fragment Complementation

The full length EPO receptor (NM_000121.3) was cloned as a fusion to the EA and ProLink β -galactosidase enzyme fragments in an MMLV retroviral vector. The ProLink is a modified alpha donor peptide that exhibits a low affinity for the EA deletion mutant of β -galactosidase resulting in low levels of spontaneous complementation when the two fragments are co-expressed in mammalian cells. However when these enzyme fragments are fused to two target proteins of interest, the interaction of the two target proteins forces the complementation of the fragments resulting in a measurable increase in β -galactosidase activity. Between the receptor and the enzyme fragment, a 9 amino acid G4SG4 linker was included to ensure flexibility. The EpoR-EA construct was transduced into U2OS cells followed by the EpoR-ProLink vector. From this cell pool a clone was selected that showed a reproducible response to EPO. The functional assay was run according to manufacturer's suggested protocol (DiscoveRx). Briefly, cells were plated in growth medium containing 1%FBS at 5,000 cells per well in 384-well plates and incubated overnight at 37°C 5%CO2. The next day the cells were treated with agonist and incubated for 3hr at 37°C. The chemiluminescent detection reagent was then added in a single addition and the plates incubated for 30 min at room temperature. The luminescent signal was then read on an envision plate reader.

EPO Receptor Phosphorylation Assays

The full length EpoR-ProLink plasmid was transduced into U2OS cells expressing the tandem SH2 domain from PLCG2 fused to the complementary enzyme fragment EA. After selection in antibiotic, the cells were cloned by limiting dilution. A clone was selected that

exhibited a robust response to EPO and was used for these studies. The assays were run similarly to the dimerization assays according to manufacturer's protocols (DiscoveRx). Briefly, the cells were plated in 384-well plates at 10,000 cells per well in growth medium containing 1%FBS. After an overnight incubation at 37°C, the cells were treated with compounds for 3hr at room temperature. The detection reagent was then added in a single addition and the plates incubated for 30 min at room temperature. The luminescent signal was then read on an envision plate reader.

Phospho-Flow Cytometry Staining and Antibodies

Intracellular phospho-STAT5 staining was performed after ice-cold methanol (100% v/v) permeabilization. Antibodies to pSTAT5 Alexa647 were purchased from BD Biosciences and used at a 1:50 dilution. The induction of STAT5 phosphorylation was calculated by subtracting the Mean Fluorescence Intensity (MFI) of the stimulated samples from that of the unstimulated sample. The normalized values were plotted against cytokine concentration to yield dose-response curves that were fitted to a sigmoidal curve.

Primity Bio Pathway Phenotyping

UT-7-EpoR cells were starved overnight, stimulated with saturated concentrations of EPO and the indicated diabodies for 15, 60 and 120 min and fixed with 1% PFA for 10 at room temp. The fixed cells were prepared for antibody staining according to standard protocols (Krutzik and Nolan, 2003). Briefly, the fixed cells were permeabilized in 90% methanol for 15 min. The cells were stained with a panel of antibodies specific to the markers indicated (Primity Bio Pathway Phenotyping service and Table S1) and analyzed on an LSRII Flow cytometer (Becton Dickinson, San Jose, CA). The Log2 Ratio of the median fluorescence intensities (MFI) of the stimulated samples divided by the unstimulated control samples were calculated as a measure of response.

Dual Luciferase Transcriptional Assays

Transcriptional activation of STAT5 was analyzed in γ -2A cells co-transfected with EpoR, JAK2wt, STAT5 and pGRR5 luciferase reporter (Dumoutier et al., 2000). pGRR5-luc contains five copies of STAT-binding site of the Fc γ RI gene inserted upstream of the luciferase gene under transcriptional control of the thymidime kinase (TK) promoter. The pRL-TK vector (Promega, Madison, WI) containing the renilla luciferase gene under control of the TK promoter was used as an internal control. Luminescence was measured in the cell lysates 24 hr after transfection using dual luciferase reporter kit (Promega) on a Perkin-Elmer Victor X Light analyzer.

Cell Proliferation Assay

Transduced and sorted Ba/F3 cells expressing mEpoR, mEpoR/JAK2, mEpoR/JAK2V617F were expanded in IL-3, washed 3 times in PBS and 5,000 cells/well were placed in 96-well plate in RPMI containing 10% fetal bovine serum with either EPO or diabodies or left untreated. After 5 days the cell proliferation assay was performed using a CellTiter 96 NonRadioactive Proliferation Assay (Promega). Absorbance was measured at 570nm, which is directly proportional to the number of living cells.

Immunoblots

Ba/F3 cells expressing EpoR were starved from cytokines and serum for 4 hr in RPMI containing 1 mg/ml bovine serum albumin. Cells were stimulated with 5U/ml of EPO, 1 μM diabodies for 15 min (Ba/F3 EpoR) or 3 hr (Ba/F3 EpoR JAK2V617F) at 37°C or left untreated. Cells were lysed in 1% NP-40 lysis buffer and protein concentration was determined by Bardford. The samples were separated by 10% SDS-PAGE and blotted onto cellulose membrane. The antibodies used for Western blotting against JAK2 (D1E2), phosphor-STAT5 (Tyr694), phospho-Akt (Ser473), phospho-Erk1/2 (Tyr202/204), Erk1/2 were purchased from Cell Signaling Technology.

Colony Assays

150000 cells/ml of bone marrow cells from JAK2wt C57B16 mice were plated in MethoCult M3234 (Stem Cell Technologies) according to the manufacture recommendations. 3U/ml EPO (Eprex) and diabodies DA5, DA10, DA307, DA330 at the concentrations 1 μM were added to assess the colony-forming units CFU-E. CFU-E count was read after 48 hr in culture.

Human Samples

Human myeloproliferative disease (MPN) samples were obtained from patients at the Stanford Medical Center with informed consent, according to Institutional Review Board (IRB)-approved protocols (Stanford IRB 76935 and 6453). Similarly patient samples were obtained from the Hôtel-Dieu Hospital (Paris, France) with informed consent and the study was approved by the «Local Research Ethics Committee » and by «the Programme Hospitalier de Recherche Clinique (PHRC study). Mononuclear cells or CD34⁺ progenitors from each sample were isolated by Ficoll separation and CD34⁺ progenitors were isolated by a positive selection using an immunomagnetic cell sorting system (AutoMacs; Miltenyi Biotec,Bergisch Gladbach, Germany). Cells were then cryopreserved in liquid nitrogen.

HSC and Progenitor-Derived Colony Genotyping Assay

Lin-CD34+ cells were sorted from freshly thawed cryopreserved human JAK2V617F heterozygous myeloproliferative samples. 5×10^3 CD34+ cells were plated in methylcellulose with and without erythropoietin (MethoCult H4434 and H4535; STEMCELL Technologies).

Colony formation was assessed after 14 days in culture by microscopy and scored on the basis of morphology. Methylcellulose colony types were scored as follows: BFU-e, CFU-GM, CFU-G, CFU-M, CFU-GEMM. Photographs of colonies were obtained on day 14 with a Nikon C-FMC stereo zoom. c-DNA was isolated from each colony with TaqMan Sample-to-SNP kit (Applied Biosystems). JAK2V617F and JAK2 WT TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay (Applied Biosystems) were designed as published recently (Levine et al., 2006) and details are available upon request. The genotype of each colony was determined by Custom TaqMan SNP Genotyping Assay (Applied Biosystems) according to the manufacturer's specification.

Homozygous JAK2V617F colony assay was performed on purified CD34 positive progenitors from homozygous for JAK2V617F derived from PV and MF patients. CD34 positive progenitors from a healthy donor were used as a negative control. 500 cells per culture dish in triplicata were seeded in H4100 Methocult media (StemCell) supplemented with 12% BSA, 37% of fetal bovine serum, $2-\beta$ -mercaptoethanol (1µM), 1% L-glutamine, in the presence of cytokines: SCF – 25 ng/ml, IL3-100 U/ml, EPO- 3 U/ml and DA10 diabody at two different concentrations - 0.3 µM or 1.0 µM. Burst-forming units-erythroid (BFU-E) or endogenous erythroid colonies (EEC) were counted after 14 days in culture (Dupont et al., 2007). EPO, and SCF were generous gifts from Amgen (Thousand Oaks, CA) and Biovitrum AB (Stockholm, Sweden), respectively. IL-3 was from Miltenyi Biotec (Paris, France).

Isolation of Primary Human MEP Cells for RNA Sequencing

For the isolation of normal human bone marrow cells 200 Mio mononuclear ficolled cells (32K) from a single healthy donor (donor ID #: 6024, lot#: BM4871) were purchased from Allcells, Alameda, CA 94502. Cells were subsequently treated with DNAase. Milteny CD34 magnetic beads were used to enrich for CD34+ cells with columns following exactly manufacturer's recommendations. Cells were pelleted and resuspended in PBS 2% FCS. Fc block was performed for 20 min and the mononuclear cells were stained with directly conjugated anti-mouse CD34, CD38, CD45RA, CD123, CD3, CD4, CD8, CD10, CD19, CD20, CD11b, CD14, CD56, GPA, CD71, CD105 and MEP were isolated as PI-lin-CD34+CD38+CD45RA-CD123- cells on a BD FACS ARIA sorter. All antibodies were purchased from eBiolegend, BD or Invitrogen. Subsequently, 1,000 MEP cells were set up in triplicate/condition, starved for 1.5h in RPMI 1% BSA and then treated with Epo 5 U/ml, DA5 5 μM, DA330 5 μM, DA10 5 μM and not treated in RPMI 10% FCS.

RNA Isolation and Library Preparation

RNA was isolated with trizol as per the manufacturer's recommendations and was further facilitated by using linear polyacrylamide as a carrier during the procedure. We treated the total RNA samples with RQ1 RNase free DNase (Promega) to remove minute quantities of genomic DNA if present. DNase treated samples were cleaned up using RNAeasy minelute columns (QIAGEN). 1-10 ng of total RNA was used as input for cDNA preparation and amplification using Ovation RNA-Seq System V2 (NuGEN). Amplified cDNA was sheared using Covaris S2 (Covaris) using the following settings: duty cycle 10%, intensity 5, cycle/burst 100, total time 5 min. The sheared cDNA was cleaned up using Agencourt Ampure XP (Beckman Coulter). 500 ng of sheared cDNA ere used as input for library preparation using NEBNext Ultra DNA Library Prep Kit for Illumina (New England BioLabs) as per manufacturer's recommendations.

RNA-Seq and Data Analysis

Libraries were sequenced using HiSeq 2500 (Illumina) to obtain 2x150 base pair paired-end reads. We mapped the reads using Olego (Wu et al., 2013) and used cuffdiff (Trapnell et al., 2013) to estimate the genes induced by either EPO or diabody treatment by comparing them to untreated MEPs. Genes significantly modulated by EPO and/or the three diabodies treatments were sorted and their fold of induction was obtain by normalizing their expression levels with those obtain in untreated cells.

Single-Molecule Imaging Experiments

Single molecule imaging experiments were carried out by total internal reflection fluorescence (TIRF) microscopy with an inverted microscope (Olympus IX71) equipped with a triple-line total internal reflection (TIR) illumination condenser (Olympus) and a back-illuminated electron multiplied (EM) CCD camera (iXon DU897D, 512 × 512 pixel, Andor Technology). A 150 × magnification objective with a numerical aperture of 1.45 (UAPO 150 × /1.45 TIRFM, Olympus) was used for TIR illumination of the sample. All experiments were carried out at room temperature in medium without phenol red supplemented with an oxygen scavenger and a redox-active photoprotectant to minimize photobleaching (Vogelsang et al., 2008). For cell surface labeling of mEGFP-EpoR, ^{DY647} antiGFP-NB and ^{RHO11} antiGFP-NB were added to the medium at equal concentrations (2 nM) and incubated for at least 5 min. The nanobodies were kept in the bulk solution during the whole experiment in order to ensure high equilibrium binding to mEGFP-EpoR. Dimerization of EpoR was probed before and after incubation with either 5 nM of EPO or 250 nM of diabodies (DA5 DA10, DA330).

Model transmembrane proteins, comprised of a C-terminal Halo- or SNAPf-tag, fused to maltose binding protein (MBP) and linked to an artificial transmembrane domain (ALA)₇KSSR were taken as negative control for co-localization. Halo and SNAPf-tagged proteins were simultaneously labeled with 30 nM HaloTag tetramethylrhodamine (TMR) ligand (Promega) and 80 nM of SNAPsurfaceDY647 (New England Biolabs) at 37°C for 15 min.

Image stacks of 150 frames were recorded at 32 ms/frame. For simultaneous dual color acquisition, ^{RHO11} antiGFP-NB was excited by a 561 nm diode-pumped solid state laser at 0.95 mW (~32W/cm²) and ^{DY647} antiGFP-NB by a 642 nm laser diode at 0.65 mW (~22W/cm²). Fluorescence was detected using an spectral image splitter (DualView, Optical Insight) with a 640 DCXR dichroic beam splitter (Chroma) in combination with the bandpass filter 585/40 (Semrock) for detection of RHO11 and 690/70 (Chroma) for detection of DY647 dividing each emission channel into 512x256 pixel.

Single-Molecule Tracking, Co-localization, and Co-tracking Analyses

Single molecule localization and single molecule tracking were carried out using the multiple-target tracing (MTT) algorithm (Sergé et al., 2008) as described previously (You et al., 2010). Step-length histograms were obtained from single molecule trajectories and fitted by two fraction mixture model of Brownian diffusion. Average diffusion constants were determined from the slope (2-10 steps) of the mean square displacement versus time lapse diagrams. Immobile molecules were identified by the density-based spatial clustering of applications with noise (DBSCAN) (Sander et al., 1998) algorithm as described recently (Roder et al., 2014). For comparing diffusion properties and for co-tracking analysis, immobile particles were excluded from the data set.

Prior to co-localization analysis, imaging channels were aligned with sub-pixel precision by using a spatial transformation. To this end, a transformation matrix was calculated based on a calibration measurement with multicolor fluorescent beads (TetraSpeck microspheres 0.1 µm, Invitrogen) visible in both spectral channels (cp2tform of type 'affine', The MathWorks MATLAB 2009a).

Individual molecules detected in the both spectral channels were regarded as co-localized, if a particle was detected in both channels of a single frame within a distance threshold of 100 nm radius. For single molecule co-tracking analysis, the MTT algorithm was applied to this dataset of co-localized molecules to reconstruct co-locomotion trajectories (co-trajectories) from the identified population of co-localizations. For the co-tracking analysis, only trajectories with a minimum of 10 steps (~300 ms) were considered. The relative fraction of co-tracked molecules was determined with respect to the absolute number of trajectories and corrected for EPOR stochastically double-labeled with the same fluorophore species as follows:

rel. co-tracking =
$$\frac{AB}{2 \times \left[\left(\frac{A}{A+B} \right) \times \left(\frac{B}{A+B} \right) \right]}$$

where A, B and AB are the numbers of trajectories observed for RHO11, DY647 and co-trajectories, respectively.

The stoichiometry of signaling complexes was determined by analyzing photobleaching events in co-trajectories. For this purpose, the intensity of each channel within each co-trajectory was plotted and the number of steps during the decay of the signal was determined.

SUPPLEMENTAL REFERENCES

Dumoutier, L., Van Roost, E., Colau, D., and Renauld, J.C. (2000). Human interleukin-10-related T cell-derived inducible factor: molecular cloning and functional characterization as an hepatocyte-stimulating factor. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 10144–10149.

Feig, M., Karanicolas, J., and Brooks, C.L., 3rd. (2004). MMTSB Tool Set: enhanced sampling and multiscale modeling methods for applications in structural biology. J. Mol. Graph. Model. 22, 377–395.

Minary, P., and Levitt, M. (2008). Probing protein fold space with a simplified model. J. Mol. Biol. 375, 920-933.

Minary, P., and Levitt, M. (2010). Conformational optimization with natural degrees of freedom: a novel stochastic chain closure algorithm. J. Comput. Biol. 17, 993–1010.

Minary, P., and Levitt, M. (2014). Training-free atomistic prediction of nucleosome occupancy. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 111, 6293–6298.

Pettersen, E.F., Goddard, T.D., Huang, C.C., Couch, G.S., Greenblatt, D.M., Meng, E.C., and Ferrin, T.E. (2004). UCSF Chimera—a visualization system for exploratory research and analysis. J. Comput. Chem. 25, 1605–1612.

Sali, A., and Blundell, T.L. (1993). Comparative protein modelling by satisfaction of spatial restraints. J. Mol. Biol. 234, 779–815.

Sim, A.Y., Minary, P., and Levitt, M. (2012). Modeling nucleic acids. Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol. 22, 273–278.

Swendsen, R.H., and Wang, J.S. (1986). Replica Monte Carlo simulation of spin glasses. Phys. Rev. Lett. 57, 2607–2609.

Trapnell, C., Hendrickson, D.G., Sauvageau, M., Goff, L., Rinn, J.L., and Pachter, L. (2013). Differential analysis of gene regulation at transcript resolution with RNA-seq. Nat. Biotechnol. 31, 46–53.

Vogelsang, J., Kasper, R., Steinhauer, C., Person, B., Heilemann, M., Sauer, M., and Tinnefeld, P. (2008). A reducing and oxidizing system minimizes photobleaching and blinking of fluorescent dyes. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 47, 5465–5469.

Wu, J., Anczuków, O., Krainer, A.R., Zhang, M.Q., and Zhang, C. (2013). OLego: fast and sensitive mapping of spliced mRNA-Seq reads using small seeds. Nucleic Acids Res. 41, 5149–5163.

Yin, J., Straight, P.D., McLoughlin, S.M., Zhou, Z., Lin, A.J., Golan, D.E., Kelleher, N.L., Kolter, R., and Walsh, C.T. (2005). Genetically encoded short peptide tag for versatile protein labeling by Sfp phosphopantetheinyl transferase. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 102, 15815–15820.

You, C., Richter, C.P., Lochte, S., Wilmes, S., and Piehler, J. (2014). Dynamic submicroscopic signaling zones revealed by pair correlation tracking and localization microscopy. Anal. Chem. 86, 8593–8602.

Zhang, J., Minary, P., and Levitt, M. (2012b). Multiscale natural moves refine macromolecules using single-particle electron microscopy projection images. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 109, 9845–9850.



Figure S1. EMP-33 Binds More Than 50-Fold Weaker to EpoR than EMP-1, Related to Figure 1 (A) The EMP-1 and EMP-33 complexes structures were superimposed and are shown in side and top view. (B and C) (B) Mass-spectrometry profiles of EMP-1 and EMP-33 peptides (C) EMP-1 and EMP-33 K_D EpoR binding affinities measured by Surface plasmon resonance.

[log nM]

V _H CDR3

V ₁ CDR3

С	А	R	V	s	R	G	G	S	Y					s	D	W	DA5	С	N	s	Y	Т	т	L	S	т		W	L	F
С	v	K	D	R	V	А	G	K	G	S	Y	Y	F	D	s	W	DA10	С	А	А	W	D	D	s	\mathbf{L}	Ν	D	W	V	F
С	А	R	V	S	R	G	G	s	\mathbf{F}					S	D	W	DA307	С	N	S	Y	А	G	S	N	N		W	V	F
C	А	R	V	S	R	G	G	S	F					S	D	W	DA330	С	S	S	Y	А	G	S	Ν	Ν	F	А	V	F



Figure S2. Diabodies CDR3 Sequences and EpoR Binding Affinities, Related to Figure 1
(A) V_H and V_L CDR3 sequences of DA5, DA10, DA307 and DA330.
(B) EpoR dose/response binding curves of the four diabodies and EPO displayed on the yeast surface.



Figure S3. Functional Characterization of the EpoR Diabodies, Related to Figures 1 and 2

(A) STAT5 transcriptional activity induced by the diabodies and EPO in γ 2A cells transfected with a STAT5 luciferase reporter. Data (mean \pm SD) are from three different experiments.

(B) Kinetics of EpoR downregulation in Ba/F3 EpoR cells stimulated with EPO or the four diabodies for the indicated times. Data (mean ± SD) are from three different experiments.

(C) Levels of CISH and Pim1 gene induction by EPO and the indicated diabodies measured by qPCR in UT-7 EpoR cells. Data (mean ± SD) are from three different experiments.



Figure S4. Biophysical and Structural Characterization of the DA_EpoR Complexes, Related to Figure 3

(A) Multi Angle light scattering chromatography experiments show that the DA_EpoR complex run with a predicted molecular weight of 97-98 kDa, in agreement with a stoichiometry of 2EpoR bound to 1 diabody in each complex.

(B) V_H and V_I CDR loops overlay of the three diabodies on the EpoR surface.

(C) Amino acids on EpoR used by EPO to form the high and low affinity binding interfaces. Amino acids shared by the two sites are colored red. Amino acids only used by the high affinity site I are colored in salmon; and amino acids used by the low affinity site I interface are colored green.

(D) Amino acids on EpoR contacting residues on the V_H CDR loops of the three diabodies.

(E) Amino acids on EpoR contacting residues on the V_L CDR loops of the three diabodies.





(A, C, and E) Position of the V_L and V_L CDR loops of DA5 (A), DA10 (C) and DA330 (E) on the EpoR surface. The side chains of the amino acids contributing to the binding interface formation are shown. The EpoR surface interacting with the diabodies is colored in gray.

(B, D, and F) Two dimensional interactions maps of the V_H and V_L CDR_EpoR binding interfaces. Amino acids are depicted as nodes in the interaction map (rectangles: EpoR; elipses: diabodies). Interactions between side chains are represented by lines. Van der Waals interactions and hydrophobic contacts are shown as solid lines, H-bonds are shown as dashed lines and electrostatic interaction as red lines.



Figure S6. Simulating the Linker Flexibility in DA5/EpoR and DA10/EpoR Complexes, Related to Figure 3

(A and B) Each image column shows the original X-ray structure (opaque) overlayed with the representative structure (translucent) from one out of the three major conformational clusters from the structural ensembles that were generated by Parallel Tempering Monte Carlo simulations. Each row presents a new orientation of the structures. The density plots present the probability of conformations as a function of the distances between the EpoR C-termini of diabody/EpoR. Blue and light blue arrows mark the distances in the X-ray and simulated structures, respectively. The left, middle and right image columns are presenting the structures that have corresponding distances indicated by the left, middle and right blue arrows on the conformational probability density plots. (A) DA5/EpoR. (B) DA10/EpoR.